シンポジウム|| 「トロイダルシステムにおける 電流駆動・電流分布制御の新展開」

#### Current Profile Control and Formation of Current Hole in Tokamaks トカマクにおける 電流分布制御と電流ホールの形成

T. Fujita Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Naka Fusion Research Establishment

19th Annual Meeting of The Japan Society of Plasma Science and Nuclear Fusion Research Inuyama, Japan, Nov. 26-29, 2002

### Contents

#### **1. Introduction**

~ properties of current in tokamaks, measurement of current profile ~

- 2. Sustainment of large bootstrap current fraction and current profile control
- 3. Current hole and current drive in a current hole plasma
- 4. Summary and discussion

# 1. Introduction

- j(r) or q(r) is closely related to transport and stability in tokamaks.
  - Formation ITB with negative/weak magnetic shear.
  - Stability improvement with high I<sub>i</sub>.
- Toroidal (parallel to magnetic field) current in tokamaks:



#### **Current profile measurements (1)**

- q(r) or B<sub>p</sub>(r) measurement
  --- Faraday rotation, motional Stark effect (MSE) ,....
- Especially, MSE diagnostics is characterized by high spatial resolution (by local measurement) and high accuracy.







#### **Current profile measurements (2)**

Internal toroidal electric field  $E_{\phi}(r)$  can be evaluated in addition to q(r) from time evolution of equilibrium;  $E_{\phi}(r)=-d\Psi_{p}(r)/dt$ 



# 2. Sustainment of large bootstrap current fraction and current profile control

# Linkage of p(r) and j(r) under large f<sub>BS</sub>

In steady operation of tokamak, j<sub>OH</sub> is zero and most of the plasma current (>70%) should be carried by j<sub>BS</sub> while the rest by j<sub>EX</sub>. (Large j<sub>OH</sub> can be locally and transiently generated even in full CD plasma.)

Study on high f<sub>BS</sub> plasma is important.



p(r) and j(r) are closely linked to each other

#### Linkage of p(r) and j(r) under large f<sub>BS</sub> (cont.)

- Two issues:
- (1) Is there a stationary point where p(r) and j(r) stay in steady state ?
- (2) Can j(r) be controlled by small j<sub>EX</sub> ?



### **Sustainment of large** f<sub>BS</sub>



#### Is there a stationary point?

- In the experiment, raising  $\beta_p$  (f<sub>BS</sub>) suppressed the shrinkage of  $\rho_{qmin}$ , which is a promising result for steady sustainment of  $\rho_{qmin}$  by the bootstrap current.
- Oscillatory behavior may happen in a longer time scale and hence the experiment with a longer duration is needed.



#### **Current profile control demonstrated in RS**

- Full non-inductive CD with BS(62%), LHCD and N-NBCD.
- The radii of  $q_{min}$  and ITB-foot expanded by peripheral LHCD. HH<sub>y2</sub>=1.4,  $\beta_N$ =2-2.2,  $n_e/n_{GW}$ =0.8 due to large ITB radius.
- Reduction of the central q-value by central N-NBCD.
- Feedback control of q(r) with real-time q(r) measurement, demonstrated in JET, should be applied in future.





# 3. Current hole and current drive in a current hole plasma

#### Current hole as a limit of strong RS and large f<sub>BS</sub>

- Increase of off-axis non-inductive (bootstrap) current
- -> Decrease of E<sub>tor</sub>(0) and j(0) (Formation of reversed shear)
- -> j(0) reach zero (Formation of current hole) No global instability with j(0)=0, and stable sustainment.





### Stable existence of current hole

- The current hole was observed in JT-60U and JET. It persists stably (several seconds) without any global instabilities in JT-60U.
- High temperature plasma confined by off-axis B<sub>p</sub> and ITB.
- Extends operation region of j(r) and enables very high f<sub>BS</sub>.



#### "Current clamp" in a current hole

- A large current hole will be a problem for confinement of  $\alpha$  particles in reactors. Control of current hole radius is required.
- No response to ECCD in the current hole both for co- and counter-CD. ("current clamp").
- Some mechanism to maintain the structure.

-2

Ω

0.2

0.4

r/a

0.6

0.8

- --- anomalous resistivity? dynamo ( $\tilde{v}x\tilde{B}$ ) due to instability ?
- CD in the center of current hole is difficult

[MW] <sup>20</sup> <sup>8N</sup>d 0

W<sub>dia</sub> [MJ]

\_e [10<sup>19</sup>m<sup>-3</sup>]

T<sub>e</sub>(0) [keV]

10

T<sub>e</sub>(0)

4.5

5

time [s]

5.5

6

6.5



-2

1

0

0.2

0.4

r/a

0.6

0.8

#### **Control of current hole radius**

 Reduction of the central q-value (outside the current hole) and current hole radius has been demonstrated by central N-NBCD.



 The response of j(r) seems to be unordinary even outside the current hole. This should be investigated for the j(r) control outside the current hole.



#### 4. Summary and discussions

- Development in j(r) measurement has progressed the j(r) control research.
- Control of j(r) with high  $f_{BS}$  is important, where p(r) and j(r) are linked through heat transport and  $E_{\phi}$  diffusion.
- Quasi-steady sustainment of 80% of  $f_{BS}$  for several  $\tau_{E}$ . *Next:* longer sustainment for current diffusion time.
- j(r) control in RS with f<sub>BS</sub>~60% demonstrated.
  *Next:* (i) evaluate controllability in larger f<sub>BS</sub>. and (ii) apply feedback control with real-time q(r) measurement.
- Current hole appears as a limit of strong RS and high f<sub>BS</sub>.
  Stable existence and mechanism for clamping j(0)~0.
- The radius of current hole can be controlled, but the response should be carefully investigated.

### Feedback control of current profile

• Real time q(r) from polarimetry (Faraday rotation).

- •LHCD power was controlled to minimize q-q<sub>ref</sub>.
- •At present, low beta, small  $f_{BS}$  plasma. Application to large  $f_{BS}$  plasma is expected.

