# White light probe system of solid state irradiated by EUV free electron laser

EUV自由電子レーザー照射固体状態の白色光観測システム

<u>T.Ohashi</u>, H.Yoneda, D.Baek, F.Sato, R.Kodama<sup>1</sup>, Y.Inubushi<sup>1,2</sup>, T.Kumagai<sup>1</sup>, D.Kimura<sup>1</sup>, K.Nakatsuka<sup>1</sup>, T.Ishikawa<sup>2</sup>, H.Ohashi<sup>2,3</sup>, H.Kimura<sup>2,3</sup>, Y.Senba<sup>3</sup>, K.Tono<sup>2</sup>, T.Togashi<sup>2,3</sup>, M.Nagasono<sup>2</sup>, A.Higasiya<sup>2</sup>, M.Yabashi<sup>2</sup> 大橋拓司, 白大烈, 佐藤文哉, 兒玉了祐<sup>1</sup>, 犬伏雄一<sup>1,2</sup>, 熊谷泰輔<sup>1</sup>, 木村大亮<sup>1</sup>, 中塚和樹<sup>1</sup>,

<u>八樯和可</u>,曰入忽,佐藤又成,兄玉了祐,入八雄一之,熊谷黍輔,不朽入党,甲塚和樹 米田仁紀,石川哲也<sup>2</sup>,大橋治彦<sup>2,3</sup>,木村洋昭<sup>2,3</sup>,仙波泰徳<sup>3</sup>,登野健介<sup>2</sup>,富樫格<sup>2,3</sup>, 永園充<sup>2</sup>,東谷篤志<sup>2</sup>,矢橋牧名<sup>2</sup>

Institute for Laser Science, University of Electro-Communications. W7, Chofugaoka 1-5-1, Chofu city, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan 電気通信大学レーザー新世代研究センター 〒182-8585 東京都調布市調布ケ丘1-5-1 西七号館

> <sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University Mihogaoka 8-1, Ibaraki, Osaka 567-0047. Japan 大阪大学大学院工学研究科 〒567-0047 大阪府茨木市美穂ケ丘8-1

<sup>2</sup>RIKEN HARIMA INSTITUTE X-ray Free Electron Laser *I-1-1, Kouto, Sayo-cho, Sayo-gun, Hyogo, Japan* 独立行政法人理化学研究所 播磨研究所 XFEL 〒679-5148 兵庫県佐用郡佐用町光都1-1-1 <sup>3</sup>JASRI

*I-1-1, Kouto, Sayo-cho ,Sayo-gun, Hyogo, Japan* 財団法人 高輝度光科学研究センター(JASRI)〒679-5198 兵庫県佐用郡佐用町光都 1-1-1

Recent development of X-ray and EUV free electron laser makes it possible to achieve high density inner shell excitation in the condensed matter. Some nonlinear optical phenomena is observed in Japan and Europe facilities. However, these experiments use only single EUV or X-ray laser pulse so that it is very difficult to understand the detail dynamics. For this purpose femtosecond white light probe system is prepared and EUV-pump and white-light-probe experiments are done in SCSS EUV line. The preliminary results denote that atomic polarizability dominates electron one just after the illumination of EUV light.

### 1. Introduction

Extreme Ultraviolet (EUV) interacts with inner shell electron, because frequency of EUV is higher than resonant frequency of free electron in the metal. Recently high intensity EUV generated from free electron laser (FEL) induces some nonlinear optical phenomena [1]. It is considered that high energy shift of the absorption edge with change of electron energy level is induced because most of atomic inner shell electrons are excited keeping solid density. However detailed physics is not to understand.

### 2. System

We prepare EUV-pump white-light-probe system with the use of ultra-wideband white light pulse (Fig.1) synchronized with EUV-Free electron laser. As shown Fig.2: white light generated from 800nm femtosecond laser and



Fig.1 White pulse profile by streak camera

sapphire crystal irradiate thin film target evaporated on fused silica at an incidence angle of 60 degrees. White pulse is reflected on the target surface. Finally probe light is observed with a polarization and vertical resolved spectrometer. Jitter of the probe pulse is about



Fig. 2 Experimental system

200fs because of electrical synchronization. Generated white pulse has group delay of 5ps between 450nm and 700nm as shown in Fig.1.

The chirped probe pulse is overlapped a 100fs-EUV pulse.

## 3. Result and discussion

One of the typical observation data is shown in Fig.3 We find to change reflection intensity from result. Looking after the EUV shot on Fig.3, p polarization reflectivity decrease and s polarization reflectivity increase. we observed reflection intensity decrease longer than 550nm, on the other hand increase shorter less than 550nm. This phenomenon cannot explain carrier increasing with inner shell electron excitation and rise of temperature to be explained by the Drude model written



Fig. 3 Au 10.2 nm film target reflection intensity changed by EUV-FEL. Blue line is Calculate Rp/Rs. Purple line is actual measured Rs/Rp. Red dashed line is reflection intensity of p polarization. Red dashed line is reflection intensity of p polarization.

Table.1 Comparison Drude model at  $\lambda = 510$  nm

	n	k	Rp/Rs	Rp	Rs
Cold	0.706	2.031	0.139	0.052	0.370
γ +20%	0.873	1.936	0.114	0.042	0.367
ω <sup>2</sup> +20%	0.644	2.264	0.161	0.064	0.399
ω <sup>°2</sup> , γ +20%	0.803	2.161	0.135	0.053	0.392
	ε <sub>re</sub>	ε <sub>im</sub>	$\omega_{c}^{2}/(\omega^{2}+\gamma^{2})$	γ/ω	
Cold	-3.625	2.868	4.625	0.620	-
γ+20%	-2.986	3.381	3.986	0.848	
ω <sup>2</sup> +20%	-4.713	2.915	5.713	0.510	
$\omega_{c}^{2}, \gamma + 20\%$	-4.026	3.472	5.026	0.691	

in Formula (1) to be indicative Table.1

$$\hat{n} = n - ik$$
Re  $\hat{\epsilon}(\omega) = n^2 - k^2$ 
Im  $\hat{\epsilon}(\omega) = 2nk$ 

$$\hat{\epsilon} = \hat{n}^2 = 1 + \frac{i\sigma}{\epsilon_0 \omega} = 1 - \frac{Ne^2}{m\epsilon_0} \frac{1}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma)}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\omega_c^2}{(\omega^2 + \gamma^2)} + i \frac{\omega_c^2}{(\omega^2 + \gamma^2)} \frac{\gamma}{\omega}$$
(1)

#### 4. Conclusion

We are assuming that Reflection intensity change effect atomic susceptibility instead of increase free electrons.

[1] H. Yoneda Optics Express. Vol. 17 Issue 26, pp.23443-23448 (2009)