## Instantaneous Correlation between ELM-like MHD Activity and Abrupt Non-local Reduction of Transport at Internal Transport Barrier Formation in JT-60U High- $\beta_p$ Plasmas

NEUDATCHIN Sergei<sup>1,3</sup>, TAKIZUKA Tomonori<sup>2</sup>, HAYASHI Nobuhiko<sup>2</sup>,

ISAYAMA Akihiko<sup>2</sup>, SHIRAI Hiroshi<sup>2</sup>, FUJITA Takaaki<sup>2</sup>, KAMADA Yutaka<sup>2</sup>,

KOIDE Yoshihiko<sup>2</sup>, ITOH Kimitaka<sup>1</sup> and MIURA Yukitoshi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki 509-5292, Japan

<sup>2)</sup> Naka Fusion Research Establishment, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Ibaraki 311-0193, Japan
<sup>3)</sup> Nuclear Fusion Institute, RRC "Kurchatov Institute", Moscow 123182, Russia

(Received 31 October 2003 / Accepted 26 November 2003)

## Abstract

Transport evolution in normal and reversed shear JT-60U tokamak plasmas with internal transport barrier (ITB) often occurs via non-local transport bifurcations. In the present paper, we highlight new type of edge-core instantaneous interplay in JT-60U high- $\beta_p$  plasmas. Abrupt in time (ms timescale) and wide in space (~0.4 of minor radius) reduction of electron heat flux in the core (ITB-event) is correlated in ms timescale with the start of an ELM that creates enhanced level of H<sub> $\alpha$ </sub>. This result gives a hint of the control to form the ITB immediately and non-locally by inducing the ELM-like MHD activity.

## Keywords:

internal transport barrier, ITB-event, non-local transport bifurcation, ELM

Over the past few years, a significant progress has been achieved in the development of the steady state high- $\beta_{\rm p}$  scenario with Normal magnetic Shear (NrS) and the Reverse Shear (RS) scenario [1,2] in JT-60U plasmas with Internal Transport Barrier (ITB). Understanding the properties of ITB and identifying the control method of ITB are among the most important issues for the tokamak fusion research. Possible feedback loops between the increase of pedestal pressure and core confinement, and further improvement of edge stability were reported for high- $\beta_p$  JT-60U plasmas [1]. Abrupt in time (ms timescale) and wide in space (~0.3 of minor radius around outer edge of weak ITB) variations of electron heat diffusivity in JT-60U RS plasmas were reported earlier and called "ITBevents" [3,4]. The ITB-events (i.e. improvement or degradation of confinement) are observed as simultaneous rise and decay of electron and ion temperatures  $T_{e,i}$  in two spatial zones.

In the present paper, we analyze the ITB evolution in a high- $\beta_p$  1.5 MA/3.8T discharge E34487 (see some timetraces also in [5]). Timetraces of this discharge are shown in Fig. 1(a); the injected neutral beam power  $P_{\rm nb}$ , stored energy W, and ion temperature  $T_i$  at  $r/a \approx 0.4$ . The time trace of  $H_{\alpha}$  and the  $T_{e}$  evolution are presented in the same figure. The  $T_{\rm e}$  was measured by ECE heterodyne radiometer with 12 channels inside the region  $0.29 \le r/a \le 0.67$ . In order to clearly see the  $T_e$ evolution at the ITB-event improvement I at t = 4.602 s (shown by vertical lines in Figs. 1(a) and (b)), values of  $T_{\rm e}$  shown in Fig. 1(b) are shifted vertically to each other (absolute scale is the same for all channels). Radial profiles of  $T_i$  (circles) and  $T_e$  (squares) measured just before t = 4.6 s are shown in Fig. 2(a) together with q profile measured with MSE. The plasma evolution shown in Figs. 1(a) and (b) is briefly described as follows. A weak ITB is created before 4.6 s. The ITB foot is clearly observed in the  $T_i$  profile at t = 4.6 s as

author's e-mail: svneu@nifs.ac.jp, neudatchin@nfi.kiae.ru



Fig. 1 (a) Evolutions of  $T_{i}$ , W,  $P_{nb}$ ,  $H_{\alpha}$  and  $T_{e}$ . (b)  $T_{e}$  and  $H_{\alpha}$  evolutions around ITB-event I. Millisecond scale correlation between  $H_{\alpha}$  rise and abrupt change of  $\partial T_{e}/\partial t$  is observed.

shown in Fig. 2(a). ELMs start at ~4.4 s (see Fig. 1(a)). The edge condition at this time corresponds to the ELMy H-mode. Just after the start of an ELM the ITBevent I is observed as an abrupt rise of  $T_e$  at channels 1– 8 and its decay at channels 9-12 (discontinuous jump of  $\partial T_e/\partial t$ ,  $\delta(\partial T_e/\partial t)$ , in ms timescale). The appearance of  $\delta(\partial T_e/\partial t)$  correlates well (in ms timescale) with the rise of  $H_{\alpha}$  (see Fig. 1(b)). The value of  $\nabla T_{e}$  varies locally at inversion radius only (between channels 8 and 9). We can interpret this ITB-event as the ITB "improvement" or, at the same time, the formation of stronger ITB out of the weak one. The variation of  $\partial T_{\rm e}/\partial t$  and  $\partial T_{\rm i}/\partial t$  at the ITB-event I allows us to estimate the jumps of electron and ion heat fluxes,  $\delta Q_{\rm e}$  and  $\delta Q_{\rm i}$ , separately (see [3,4] for detail). Figure 2(b) shows the profile of  $\delta Q_{\rm e}$  calculated from  $\delta(\partial T_{\rm e}/\partial t)$  at the ITB-event I. The power balance calculations performed with a 1.5 dimensional transport code TOPICS [6] show that the total diffusive heat flux via electron and ion channels is equal to 3 MW at r/a = 0.45 while  $\delta Q_e + \delta Q_i \approx -2$  MW at the same radius. The total diffusive heat flux is then reduced as much as 2.5~3 times at this ITB-event I. The profile of electron heat diffusivity variation ( $\delta \chi_e$ ) at ITB-event I is shown by dotted line in Fig. 2(b). After ~30 ms, the transport first increases and then again decreases (see deceleration and acceleration of  $T_e$  rise in Fig. 1(a)). Hence the  $T_{e,i}$  profiles of at t = 4.69 s shown



Fig. 2 (a) Radial profiles of  $T_i$  (circles),  $T_e$  (squares), and q (dotted line) at t = 4.601 s, and profiles of  $T_{i,e}$  90 ms after ITB-event I (solid lines). (b) Profiles of electron heat flux jump  $\delta Q_e$  (solid line) and  $\chi_e$  jump  $\delta \chi_e$  (dotted line) calculated from  $\delta(\partial T_e/\partial t)$  at ITB-event I.

by solid lines in Fig. 2(a) do not represent the evolution with continuously low transport level after the ITBevent I.

The formation of local ITB was preceded by the fishbone activity on the q = 2 surface in ASDEX Upgrade [7]. The level of heat flux reduction and the width of the region were not analyzed. The non-local abrupt bifurcations of transport at fast "global" L-H-L transitions were found in JT-60U NrS and RS plasmas with and without ITB (see [8] and references therein). ITB-event degradation triggered the L-H transition.

In the present paper, the heat flux outside the ITB decreases just after the rise of  $H_{\alpha}$ . The jump of  $\partial T_e/\partial t$  appears simultaneously (ms timescale) near the ITB, which represents abrupt (in ms timescale) reduction of the electron heat diffusivity inside wide region ( $0.3 \le r/a \le 0.7$ ) concurrently with the rise of  $H_{\alpha}$ . This correlation suggests the new type of "global" edge-core connection. At the same time,  $\nabla T_e$  value varies locally at the inversion radius only (between the regions of  $T_e$  rise and decay). The total diffusive heat flux (at electron and ion channels) is reduced by ~3 times at the ITB-event I. This result gives a hint of the control to form the ITB immediately and non-locally by inducing the ELM-like MHD activity.

Principal part of this work was carried out during SN's stay at JAERI under JAERI Research Fellowship, 2001. The present paper was completed under JAERI-NIFS collaboration agreement, 2003, during SN's stay at NIFS.

- Y. Kamada *et al.*, 2002 (Proc 19th IAEA Fusion Energy Conf., Lyon, 2002) file IAEA-CN-94/EX/ P2-04.
- [2] T. Fujita et al., Nucl. Fusion 42, 180 (2002).
- [3] S.V. Neudatchin, T. Takizuka, H. Shirai *et al.*, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **41**, L39 (1999).
- [4] S.V. Neudatchin, T. Takizuka, H. Shirai *et al.*, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **43**, 661 (2001).
- [5] S.V. Neudatchin, T. Takizuka, H. Shirai *et al.*, Fusion Energy 2000 (Proc. 18th IAEA Fusion

Energy Conf., Sorrento, 2000) CD-ROM C&S Papers Series 8/C (IAEA, Vienna, 2001) file EXP5/ 01.

- [6] H. Shirai, T. Takizuka, Y. Koide *et al.*, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 42, 1193 (2000).
- [7] S. Guenter *et al.*, Proc. 28th EPS Conf., Madeira, 25A, P1.006 (2002).
- [8] S.V. Neudatchin, T. Takizuka, H. Shirai *et al.*, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **44**, A383 (2002).