Particle-Trapping Effects in Electron-Beam-Plasma

TAKEDA Tsuyoshi and YAMAGIWA Keiichiro

Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, Shizuoka, 422-8529, Japan (Received: 9 December 2003 / Accepted: 12 February 2004)

Abstract

Wave packets and velocity distribution functions of electron-beams in an electron-beam-plasma system are investigated to find out particle-trapping effects. The dependency between the packet amplitudes and the distribution spreads, and the value of the bounce frequency indicate that the potentials of the packets trap the beam-electrons. The trapping appears to induce the beam scattering and the deformation of the packets.

Keywords:

particle-trapping, electron-beam-plasma, wave packet, velocity distribution function of electron-beam, coaxial probe, energy analyzer

1. Introduction

In an electron-beam-plasma system, it is well known that wave packets developing as an initial growth process in electron time scales obey the beam-mode properties described by a linear equation [1]. But the packets can not continue to grow infinitely, and begin to shift to the processes of saturation and damping before long. Since the packet is easy to be accompanied with nonlinear phenomena [2,3], it is necessary to discuss modulational instability [4], particletrapping [5], and so on. Yamagiwa et al. [6] observed that a wave packet evolves into a packet train as continuously emitting some wave packets in a weak electron-beam plasma, $n_b/n_e < 0.3 \%$ (n_b and n_e are the electron-beam and the plasma density, respectively), and discuss its phenomenon by a nonlinear theory of Yajima et al. [7]. But Akimoto et al. [5] showed by computer simulations that the trapping effects outstand in the nonlinear regime of this system. It has been interesting that velocity distribution functions of electronbeams are investigated experimentally. In this study, the authors investigate nonlinear phenomena in the case of strong beams, $n_b/n_e \ge 0.3$ %, and the relationships between the packets and the distribution functions so as to pick up the signs of beam-electron trapping.

2. Experimental procedure

Plasma is produced in a cylinder chamber, whose sizes are 0.26 m in diameter and 1.2 m in length, filled with argon gas by DC-discharges between four heated filaments and its wall. Then the plasma is confined in full-line cusps [9] produced by magnets. Electron-beams injected into the plasma are pulsed, and travel one-dimensionally along *z*-axis magnetic field induced by coils. Wave packets excited by the beams are observed by using a coaxial probe. An energy analyzer [8] is adopted so as to observe velocity distribution functions of the beams. Figure 1 illustrates the schematic of experimental apparatus. A synchronized system with a test wave signal is introduced in the above observation. The signal, which is a single amplitude modulation wave, consists of carrier frequency of 90 MHz and half-width time of 50 ns, and is given to a control grid of beam gun to excite an initial wave packet. The signal is also given as a trigger to digital oscilloscopes simultaneously. Signals detected by the analyzer and the coaxial probe are amplified by a low frequency amplifier (DC-8 MHz) and a high frequency amplifier (0.1-1300 MHz), respectively. These amplified signals are received on 2-channel of the oscilloscopes. The observations are carried out at each of the axial positions of 128 points. Typical experimental parameters are as follows: electron plasma temperature 0.76 eV, electron plasma density $n_e = 1 \times$ 10^{14} m⁻³, electron-beam velocity $v_b = 4.2 \times 10^6$ m/s, and electron-beam density $n_b/n_e = 0.3, 0.6, 1.2 \%$.

3. Results and discussions

Figure 2 shows that a wave packet in the case of $n_b/n_e =$ 1.2 % evolves temporally along the beam path. Here k_0z and ω_{pet} is respectively the axial space and the time. The characterization parameters of wave packets against n_b/n_e are given in Table 1. Here ω_{pe} is the electron plasma frequency, k the wave number, $\omega(=\omega_r + i\omega_i, \omega_r = \text{Re}(\omega), \omega_i = \text{Im}(\omega))$ the frequency, $k_0(\equiv \omega_{pe}/v_b)$ the defined wave number, and $v_{\phi}(=\omega_r/k)$ the phase velocity. ω_r is almost constant due to being almost determined by the frequency of the test wave. k increases and v_{ϕ} decreases with n_b/n_e . This result means that the packets obey the beam-modes because the dispersibility

Corresponding author's e-mail: sttaked@ipc.shizuoka.ac.jp



Fig. 1 Experimental apparatus.

depends on n_b/n_e . After the packet grows linearly in $\omega_{pe}t < 90$, it shifts to saturation and damping process. Unfortunately, modulation instabilities or wave packet trains are not observed in the case of the above beams. Instead of those, the asymmetry deformation appears in the packet.

The correlations between wave packets and velocity distributions f_b for $n_b/n_e = 0.3$, 0.6, 1.2 % are respectively shown in Fig. 3(a), 3(b) and 3(c). These figures show the packets



Fig. 2 The temporal evolution of the packet at time interval of $\omega_{pe}t = 4.5$ in the case of $n_b/n_e = 1.2$ %.

Table 1 The characterization parameters of the packets against the beam density n_b/n_e .

$n_b/n_e~(\%)$	k/k_0	ω_r/ω_{pe}	ω_i/ω_{pe}	v_{ϕ}/v_{b}
0.3	1.01	0.9	0.066	0.89
0.6	1.07	0.9	0.10	0.84
1.2	1.10	0.9	0.12	0.82

with the maximum amplitudes at each upper side, the distributions mapped on v - z plane at each left side, and the distributions in several positions k_0z at each right side. With increasing n_b/n_e , the spread of these distributions becomes larger towards the low side of the velocity space. In the case of $n_b/n_e = 1.2$ %, the spread becomes unusual in $k_0z = 30 - 40$ as two peaks arising. Those spreads exist around the peak positions of the packets, which seem to be involved by the packet amplitudes.

When a wave potential traps beam-electrons, the dependency between the potential ϕ and the trapping radius Δv_t is described by $\Delta v_t^2 = 4e\phi/m$ [2,5], where e/m is the specific charge of electron. Figure 4 plots on double logarithmic chart the packet amplitude against the velocity width Δv between the phase velocity and the lower edge of the spread. The liner line fitted by $(\Delta v/v_b)^2$ implies that such plotting is consistent with the dependency. The upper horizon of this figure is the magnitude of the potential into which the trapping radius is converted, which gives $\phi = 0.56$ V in n_b/n_e 1.2 %. Then the bounce frequency $\omega_t (= \sqrt{ek^2 \phi/m})$ is 0.083 ω_{pe} . Since ω_t is near ω_i , the trapping effects can not be neglected. These above results indicate that the packet potentials trap the beam electrons. The distribution spreads seem to be constructed by the beam scattering due to trapping and detrapping. The local difference of the beam density generates that of ω_i and v_{ϕ} , which may induce the deformation of the packet.

4. Conclusions

The authors investigate wave packets and velocity distribution functions of electron-beams to find out particle-trapping effects in an electron-beam-plasma system. The deformation of the packets and the distribution spreads of the beams are observed by using a coaxial probe and an energy analyzer, respectively. From the dependency between the packet amplitudes and the distribution spreads, and the bounce frequency estimated, it is concluded that the potentials of the packets trap the beam-electrons. The authors guess that the beam scattering due to trapping and detrapping induces the distribution spreads and the deformation of the packets.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge useful discussions with Professor SAEKI Koichi and Assistant Professor AKIMOTO Kazuhiro.



Fig. 3 The correlations between the packets and the distributions f_b for $n_b/n_e = 0.3$ (a), 0.6 (b), and 1.2 % (c). The packets with the maximum amplitudes are displayed at each upper side, the distribution mappings for v - z plane at each left side, and the distributions of several positions at each right side.



Fig. 4 The dependency between the packet amplitudes and the distribution spreads Δv . The upper horizon is the potential ϕ . The fitting line is proportional to $(\Delta v/v_b)^2$.

References

- R.J. Briggs, Advances in Plasma Physics, ed. A. Simon and W.B. Thompson (Interscience, New York, 1971) Vol. 4, p. 43.
- [2] A. Hasegawa, *Plasma Instabilities and Nonlinear* Effects (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1975).
- [3] M.V. Goldman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 709 (1984).
- [4] T. Intrator, C. Chan, N. Hershkowitz and D. Diebold, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 1233 (1984).
- [5] K. Akimoto, Y. Omura and H. Matsumoto, Phys. Plasmas 3, 2559 (1996).
- [6] K. Yamagiwa, T. Itoh and T. Nakayama, *Invited Papers*, XXIIIrd ICPIG 1997, Toulouse (EDP Sciences, 1997) Vol. 7, p. C4-413.
- [7] N. Yajima and M. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 94, 138 (1988).
- [8] R.L. Stenzel, W, Gekelman, N. Wild, J.M. Urrutia and D. Whelan, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 54, 1302 (1983).
- [9] K.N. Leung, T.K, Samec and A. Lamm, Phys. Lett. A 51, 490 (1975).