# Formation of Internal Transport Barriers and Its Impact on the JT-60U Plasmas

IDE Shunsuke<sup>\*</sup> and the JT-60 Team Naka Fusion Research Establishment, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Ibaraki, 311-0193, Japan

(Received: 5 December 2000 / Accepted: 27 October 2001)

### Abstract

Formation of internal transport barriers (ITBs) in a plasma is a key to access to improved confinement. In JT-60U, there are two types of plasmas which are accompanied with ITB. One is a high  $\beta_p$ plasma and the other one is a reversed magnetic shear (RS) plasma. In RS plasmas, interesting features can be seen in spatial profiles of plasma parameters. For example, the location of the ITB can be strongly related to the location where the safety factor (q) becomes minimum, and the radial electric field ( $E_r$ ) has strong spatial variation at the ITB. These features can be related to the physics mechanisms of confinement improvement at the ITB. On JT-60U RS plasmas, experiments to modify the toroidal current density or the toroidal rotation profiles, which are expected to influence the ITB structure directly or indirectly, have been carried out. And these modifications were fond to cause changes in the ITB structure. The results are shown in this paper.

#### **Keywords:**

JT-60U, ITB, reversed magnetic shear, LHCD, toroidal rotation

### 1. Introduction

The main objectives of the JT-60U project are studies on confinement, stability, steady state operation, heat and particle handling by a divertor configuration and understanding of underlaying physics in order to establish physics basis for the international thermonuclear experimental reactor (ITER) and steady state reactor such as SSTR [1]. For this purpose high performance plasmas, such as H-mode, high  $\beta_{\rm p}$  [2], reversed magnetic shear (RS) plasmas [3] and the latter two combined with the H-mode [4,5], have been developed. What is essential to keep improved confinement in those plasmas is to maintain the internal and/or the edge transport barriers (ITB [3,6] and/or ETB). Especially a role played by the ITBs is a key to access high confinement. The formation of the ITB and its dynamics can be seen more clearly in the RS plasmas than those in the high  $\beta_{\rm p}$  plasmas in JT-60U. Therefore, topics concerning to the ITB structure and its modification in the JT-60U RS plasmas are reported in this paper. In the second section, the tokamak, the heating system and diagnostics which are closely related to RS experiments shown in this paper are briefly described. In the third section, some general characteristics of the ITBs in the JT-60U RS plasmas are introduced. Also some typical achievements in performance so far in the JT-60U RS plasmas are shown. Following that, in the fourth section, experimental results on toroidal current density (i)profile modification via lower hybrid current drive (LHCD) and its impact to confinement are presented. In the fifth section, experimental results on relation between the ITB gradient and the radial electric field profile modification via external torque input control are

©2001 by The Japan Society of Plasma Science and Nuclear Fusion Research

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's e-mail: ide@naka.jaeri.go.jp

shown. Lastly, the summary will be presented in the last section.

## 2. The Tokamak and the Heating/Current Drive and Diagnostics Systems

The JT-60U tokamak is a single null divertor tokamak device, the plasma major radius  $(R_p) 3 - 3.5$  m, the plasma minor radius  $(a_p) 0.6 - 1.1$  m and the maximum toroidal magnetic field  $(B_i) < 4$  T at R =3.32 m. On the tokamak a variety of heating and current drive systems are equipped. They are the neutral beam injection (NBI), the lower hybrid range of frequencies (LHRF), the electron cyclotron range of frequencies (ECRF) and the ion cyclotron range of frequencies (ICRF) systems. Two out of the four systems, the NBI and the LHRF systems, were mainly used in the experiments described in this paper. Detail of the two systems are:

1) The NBI system: Two types of ion sources are used, one is based on standard positive ion based ion source of which acceleration voltage is  $\leq 110$  keV and the other one is based on negative ion based ion source of which acceleration voltage is  $\leq 500$  keV. The purposes of NBIs are heating, toroidal torque input and current drive. Due to higher acceleration voltage, the negative ion source based NBI (N-NBI) is better in driving current.

2) The LHRF systems: Two types of multi-junction (MJ) LHRF launchers are installed. One which is installed in a port at poloidal angle  $\theta \approx 45^{\circ}$ , consists of four rows of eight MJ modules which have 3 sub-waveguides. The other one, installed in a horizontal port, consists of two rows of four MJ modules which have 12 sub-waveguides.

Key diagnostics indispensable to this paper are, the mortional Stark effect (MSE) measurement for the safety factor (q) or j profile measurement, Thomson scattering measurement using Ruby and YAG lasers for the electron temperature  $(T_e)$  and the density  $(n_e)$  profile measurement, and charge exchange recombination spectroscopy (CXRS) measurement for the ion temperature  $(T_i)$  and the toroidal rotation  $(V_{\phi})$  profiles measurement.

### 3. General Characteristics of Reversed Magnetic Shear Plasmas in JT-60U

In an RS plasma, the *j* profile is a hollow one. Then the *q* profile comes to have a minimum inside a plasma. In this paper, the minimum value in the *q* profile and its location are denoted as  $q_{\min}$  and  $\rho_{q\min}$ . With this *q*  profile, the magnetic shear  $s = \rho/q \, dq/d\rho$  becomes negative inside  $\rho_{qmin}$ . Here  $\rho$  is the normalized flux radius.

In JT-60U experiments, an RS configuration is usually formed by NBI heating at fast plasma current  $(I_p)$  ramp-up to retard current penetration by raising  $T_e$ and with help of the bootstrap current. However it should be noted here that LHRF injection at the  $I_p$  ramp phase also could form an RS configuration with quite a larger  $\rho_{qmin}$  [7]. Moreover, even at the current flat-top an RS configuration formation was observed with LHCD or ECCD although  $\rho_{qmin}$  is not very large.

Usually a moderate to a high performance RS plasma is formed by injecting adequate NB power into an RS configuration. Typical profiles in an RS plasma are shown in Fig. 1. As shown in the top box, the q



Fig. 1 Plasma parameter profiles in a typical RS plasma in JT-60U. The top box; the *q* profile and the minimum ( $q_{min}$ ) in the profile and its location ( $\rho_{qmin}$ ) are schematically shown. The second box; the  $T_e$ ,  $T_i$  and  $n_e$  profiles. The bottom box; the  $\chi_e$ and the  $\chi_i$  profiles with the neoclassical thermal diffusivity profile for a reference, and the *E*, profile. The region of the ITB is schematically shown with the gray area. Also the ITB "foot" location is also indicated schematically by an arrow.

profile is increasing toward the center from  $ho_{qmin}$ . In the second box the  $T_e$ , the  $T_i$  and the  $n_e$  profiles are plotted. At a certain position in a plasma the gradient of all these profiles changes quickly, and the profiles build up toward the center. The position is referred to as the ITB "foot" ( $ho_{\text{foot}}$ ) in this paper. It should be noted that although  $ho_{\text{foot}}$  could vary in each profile somehow it is found to be strongly related to  $ho_{qmin}$  in the JT-60U RS plasmas [8]. However this steep gradient in the profiles usually does not continue up to the center, but becomes much less steep, or even flat, at a certain point (referred to as the ITB shoulder). A region between the ITB foot and the shoulder is called the ITB region. As shown in the bottom box, both the electron and the ion thermal diffusivities ( $\chi_e$  and  $\chi_i$ ) show sudden drop at this region. Actually in this case,  $\chi_i$  is found to be as small as the neo-classical value as shown in the figure. However, as expected from the less steep temperature and density profiles, the thermal diffusivities go up inside the ITB shoulder. Also, the radial electric field  $(E_r)$  profile is shown in the bottom box and indicates strong spatial variation at the ITB region.

Formation of the ITB can bring benefits from a view point of steady state advanced tokamak operation, such as high confinement and large bootstrap current fraction to  $I_p$  ( $f_{BS}$ ). In JT-60U, there have been many achievement in view of plasma performance owing to ITB formation. Some of them are briefly described as follow;

1) High equivalent D-T fusion gain  $(Q_{DT}^{eq})$ 

The RS plasmas in JT-60U have been proved to have potential to achieve high fusion plasma performance, and in the campaign in 1998 the value of  $Q_{DT}^{eq}$ has reached up to 1.25 at  $I_p = 2.6$  MA and the toroidal magnetic field at the plasma center ( $B_{t0}$ ) = 4.4 T [5]. The edge of the RS plasma was in the L-mode. In order to obtain high performance, it was found to be advantageous to form the ITB early in the  $I_p$  ramp-up phase. In order to maintain the ITB at large radial location which gives better performance, a certain amount of power needs to be applied. Otherwise, the ITB shrinks and the performance becomes lower or is even lost. On the other hand, since the current keeps penetrating into the plasma center, the minimum of the safety factor (q) profile ( $q_{min}$ ) keeps decreasing.

2) High confinement RS plasma with H-mode edge

One of the key concept in the advanced tokamak operation is to utilize bootstrap current as much as possible for non-inductive operation [1]. On JT-60U, optimization of an RS plasma has been pursued to raise



Fig. 2 The MSE polarization angle ( $\gamma^{\text{MSE}} \sim B_{\text{p}}/B_{\text{t}}$ ) vs radial location (distance from the magnetic axis normalized by the minor radius) of the measurement. Almost zero  $\gamma^{\text{MSE}}$  in the central region suggests almost zero  $B_{\text{p}}$  or j.

 $f_{\rm BS}$ . This effort has succeeded in producing an ELMy Hmode RS plasma which has a large  $f_{\rm BS}$  of about 80%, with  $I_{\rm p} = 0.8$  MA,  $B_{t0} = 3.4$  T, q at 95% flux  $(q_{95}) = 9.3$ and the triangularity ( $\delta$ ) = 0.43 [9]. In the plasma, the confinement enhancement factor to the H-mode thermal confinement scaling  $(HH_{98(y,2)})$  reached 2.1 – 2.3, and that to the L-mode scaling  $(H_{89P})$  was 3.3 – 3.8, with the normalized  $\beta$  ( $\beta_{\rm N}$ ) = 1.9 – 2.2. Owning to the large  $f_{\rm BS}$ of 80% and the P-NB driving the rest 20% of  $I_{\rm p}$ , full current drive was maintained with such a highly improved confinement state for 2.7 s (about  $6 \times \tau_{\rm e}$ ).

In addition to the performance improvement shown above, a very interesting structure is found in the RS plasmas, that is a current hole [10,11]. In an RS plasma, almost zero poloidal field  $(B_p)$  is observed in the central region (Fig. 2). This in other words means that *j* is very small or even zero in the region. It should be stressed that this finding suggests a possibility of a stable tokamak operation with very small or even zero toroidal current in the core region.

# 4. Modification of the Current Profile and the ITB Location

As noted in the previous section, the ITB foot is found to be strongly related to the position of  $q_{\min}$  in JT-60U RS plasmas. It was also found that expansion of  $\rho_{q\min}$  (or ~  $\rho_{foot}$ ) gives improvement of confinement performance [12]. Therefore it is an interesting question what happens when the  $q_{\min}$  location is changed via some active external scheme. Modification of the ITB

location by modifying target q profile by means of LHCD was already reported [7]. Also quasi-steady state sustainment of the RS configuration and ITB by external LHCD was demonstrated [13]. On the other hand, quasisteady sustainment of the RS configuration and ITB with high bootstrap current fraction (~ 80%) was also reported as described in the previous section [12]. However, in the former cases the bootstrap current fraction was not as high as expected in the future machines, and in the latter case there was small room for external NBCD to work and also  $q_{95}$  is too high in view of economical operation of a future rector. Therefore, in order to explore current drive and current modification effect with high (but not too high) bootstrap current fraction at lower  $q_{95}$ , LHCD was applied to high  $\beta_N$  RS plasmas at low  $q_{95}$  regime.

The experiment was done at  $B_{t0} = 2.5$  T with deuterium gas. The waveforms of typical plasma parameters are shown in Fig. 3. As shown in the figure, the target RS plasma was formed by P-NB heating. Then  $P_{\rm NB}$  was reduced and kept constant for clearer measurement of the LHCD effect. It is noted that just at the  $P_{\rm NB}$  step-down a mini collapse occurred and a large drop in  $\beta_N$  at ~ 6.3 s is attributed to the mini collapse. After  $P_{LH}$  injection, the surface loop voltage  $(V_{\ell})$  started decreasing, which indicated that the inductively driven plasma current was being replaced with non-inductive current, that is LH driven current. In the bottom box  $n_e$ at  $\rho \sim 0.2$ , 0.6 and 0.8 are shown, which correspond to the central region, near the ITB foot and peripheral region respectively. The temporal evolution of  $n_{\rm e}$  at  $\rho \sim$ 0.6 starts increasing at about 6.7 s, which indicates this position is coming into the ITB region, or in other words the ITB region is expanding. Change in the profiles is shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 4 (a), the q profiles at 6.4 and 7.5 s are shown. As shown in the figure, the location of the  $q_{\min}$  shifts outward. This shift is attributed to off-axis LHCD. In Fig. 4 (b),  $n_e$  profiles at 6.5 and 7.5 s are plotted. As mentioned before, expansion of the ITB foot is seen in the change in the profile. It is noted that although the central density decreases, the expansion of the ITB foot compensates to keep high electron population in the core region. Furthermore it should be noted that expansion of the ITBs in the  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  profiles is also observed.

In the discharge,  $V_{\ell}$  reached very closed to zero but not completely, as shown in Fig. 3. Demonstration of full current drive in this regime is very interesting and important in relevance to the ITER steady state operation. In order to access full current drive,  $I_{\rm p}$  was



Fig. 3 A typical waveforms of LHCD on a high  $\beta_N$  RS plasma at  $l_p = 1$  MA and  $B_t = 2.5$  T. The LH power was modulated 0 to 100% with the duty of 90%.



Fig. 4 Profiles of q and  $n_e$  at 6.4 s/6.5 s and 7.5 s (solid curve, circles).

reduced to 0.9 MA and also N-NB was injected. The role of N-NB injection is not only current drive but also effective central heating. The waveform of the discharge is shown in Fig. 5. Injection scenario of P-NB and LHW is similar to that shown previously, but N-NB injection started prior to LHCD by 0.2 s. As shown in the figure,  $V_{\ell}$  dropped to zero and then even negative, showing full current or even over drive. Similar to the previous case, temporal evolution of  $n_e$  near the ITB foot suggests expansion of the ITB foot. As the results of the



Fig. 5 Wave forms of the discharge in which full current drive was achieved simultaneously with high confinement  $(HH_{\mathfrak{s}\mathbb{R}(y,2)}) \sim 1.4$  at high normalized electron density  $(\bar{n_e}/n_{\rm GW})$ . Also in this shot  $P_{\rm LH}$  was modulated 0 to 100% with the duty of 90%.

ITB expansion, the confinement in the plasma was improved further and some of the plasma parameters reached to the region which is relevant to the ITER with full current drive condition. The confinement improvement factor to the H-mode  $(HH_{98(y,2)})$  is about 1.4 and the fraction of line averaged density  $(\bar{n}_e)$  to the Greenwald density  $(n_{\rm GW})$  is about 0.82. It should be stressed that simultaneous achievement of full current drive at high confinement and high density is a new regime in JT-60U operation. Although  $q_{95} = 6.9$  in the discharge is still not very low, it is approaching to the range that is expected in the ITER steady state operation scenario, that is  $q_{95} = 4 - 5$ .

# 5. Modification of the Toroidal Rotation Profile and the ITB Strength

As described in the second section, a large spatial variation in the radial electric field profile is often observed in RS plasmas in JT-60U. Theoretical predictions show that competition between the shearing rate ( $\omega_{E\times B}$ ) and linear growth rate ( $\gamma_L$ ) of a micro-turbulence is a key in the turbulence suppression. Here,  $\omega_{E\times B}$  is written [14];

$$\omega_{\rm E\times B} = \frac{(RB_{\theta})^2}{B} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \frac{E_r}{RB_{\theta}}.$$
 (1)

Here,  $B_{\theta}$  is the poloidal magnetic field and  $\psi$  = constant is a magnetic flux surface. Therefore, spatial derivative of  $E_r$  (it will be expressed as  $E'_r$  later on in this paper) would be a key parameter. On the other hand the radial electric field is written as;



Fig. 6 Typical torque (momentum) input profile, balanced, co- and counter directional, in the discharge shown in Figs. 7 and 8.

$$E_r = \frac{\nabla p_i}{Z_i e n_i} + V_{\phi} B_{\theta} - V_{\theta} B_{\phi} . \qquad (2)$$

Here,  $p_i$ ,  $Z_i$ ,  $n_i$ ,  $V_{\phi}$  and  $V_{\theta}$  are the pressure, the charge number, the density, the toroidal and the poloidal rotation velocities of an ion species, and  $B_{\phi}$  is the toroidal magnetic field. As noticed in the equation, there would be a room to modify the first and the second terms externally. That is, control of the heating to modify the first term and the control of the toroidal torque input to modify the second term. On the other hand, the third term is expected to be determined mostly by neo-classical force balance. The idea is to utilize four tangential P-NB lines on JT-60U to control torque input profile to act on the radial electric field via modification in the second term in the eq. (2).

The experiment was carried out with  $I_p = 1.5$  MA and  $B_t = 3.5$  T and the working gas was deuterium [8]. In the discharge, the input toroidal torque profile was changed by changing combination of tangential beam units. Three input momentum profiles that were used in this experiment are shown in Fig. 6. The combination which gives co-directional torque is referred to the "CO" injection. On the other hand, the combination which gives counter-directional torque is referred to the "CTR" injection. The combination which gives almost zero torque relative to the other two cases is referred to the "BAL" injection.

The target RS plasma was initially formed with the BAL injection, then switched to the CO injection, then the CTR injection and finally to the BAL injection again. Since the interest is on the ITB structure, the gradient of  $T_i$  at the ITB is taken as a measure of the ITB characteristics. In Fig. 7, the temporal evolution of the  $T_i$  gradient at the ITB is shown, and the combination of the torque input is also shown schematically at the top of the figure. As indicated in the figure, at just after 6.25 s the  $T_i$  gradient started decreasing, and at just after 6.65 s the gradient started increasing again, or recovering. Note that the NB power was kept almost constant. The confinement improvement  $(H_{89P})$  was evaluated as 2.2, 1.6 and 1.8 at 6.25, 6.6 and 7.0 s respectively. The change in the toroidal rotation profile is shown in Fig. 8 (a). As shown in the figure, in the case of the CO injection  $V_{\phi}$  builds up in the central region while the profile flattens at the ITB foot just before the degradation in the  $T_i$  gradient starts (~ 6.25 s). On the other hand, in the case of the CTR injection followed by the BAL injection, a flat  $V_{\phi}$  profile at the ITB foot comes to have a positive gradient just before the recovery of the  $T_i$  gradient starts. The gradient of  $E_r$ at each timing was calculated and shown in Fig. 8 (b). Together with  $E'_r$ , gradients of the first and the second terms in eq. (2) are shown, labeled with " $\nabla p$ " and  $V_{\phi}$ respectively. As seen in Fig. 8 (b), towards the degradation in  $T_i$  gradient, what changes most clearly in the  $E'_r$  profile is a decrease in  $E'_r$  at the ITB foot. On the other hand, when  $T_i$  gradient is about to recover, increase of  $E'_r$  at the ITB foot is observed. Both of them are attributed to the change in the " $V_{\phi}$ " due to change in the  $V_{\phi}$  profile. These results suggest that the  $E_r$  gradient at the ITB foot is a key parameter to control the confinement of whole the ITB region.

### 6. Summary

General feature of the reversed magnetic shear plasma in JT-60U are descried;

- the location of the ITB foot is strongly related to the location at which q becomes minimum,
- strong spatial variation in the  $E_r$  profile is often found to be formed at the ITB region,
- the temperatures and density profiles often become flat in the central region.

Two topics relating to the first and second feature are described in this paper. The first one is concerning to the current profile and the ITB location. It was shown that by extending the location of the minimum q by means of non-inductive off-axis current drive using



Fig. 7 Temporal evolution of the  $T_i$  gradient at the ITB when torque input profile was changed as "CO"  $\Rightarrow$  "CTR"  $\Rightarrow$  "BAL".



Fig. 8 (a); The V<sub>φ</sub> profile at 5.9, 6.25, 6.45 and 6.6 s in the discharge shown in Fig.7. (b); The gradient of E<sub>r</sub> profile and its terms dominated by ∇p and V<sub>φ</sub>, labeled with "∇p" and "V<sub>φ</sub>" respectively, at 5.9, 6.25, 6.45 and 6.6 s in the same discharge.

lower hybrid waves the location of the ITB foot expanded outward. By combining the off-axis LHCD and the current drive by N-NBI, full current drive of  $I_p =$ 0.9 MA with high confinement of  $HH_{98(y,2)}$  of about 1.4 at high density normalized to the Greenwald density  $\bar{n}_e/n_{GW}$  of about 0.82 and  $q_{98}$  of 6.9.

The other one is concerning to modification of the  $E_r$  profile via control of the toroidal torque input in order to affect the transport characteristics of the ITB. It was demonstrated that by changing the toroidal torque input profile by choosing combination of four tangential NB lines the  $T_i$  gradient at the ITB changed. As the result of the modification in the  $V_{\phi}$  profile, the  $E_r$  profile and thus the gradient of  $E_r$  profile was changed. It was fond that prior to the degradation of the  $T_i$  gradient, the  $E_r$  gradient at the ITB foot became small due to flattening of the  $V_{\phi}$  profile at the ITB. On the other hand, prior to the steepening of the  $T_i$  gradient increase

of the  $E_r$  gradient at the ITB foot was observed. These results suggest that the  $E_r$  gradient at the ITB foot is a key parameter to control the confinement of whole the ITB region.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express our gratitude to all the researchers who have contributed JT-60U under a wide range of collaborations for their valuable discussion, suggestion and comments.

### References

- [1] M. Kikuchi, Nucl. Fusion 30, 265 (1990).
- [2] S. Ishida, Y. Koide, T. Ozeki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1531 (1992).
- [3] T. Fujita, S. Ide, H. Shirai *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2377 (1997).
- [4] Y. Koide, S. Ishida, M. Kikuchi et al., in Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, Seville, 1994 (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1995), Vol. 1, p. 199.

- [5] T. Fujita, Y. Kamada, S. Ishida *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **39**, 1627 (1999).
- [6] Y. Koide, M. Kikuchi, M. Mori *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 3662 (1994).
- S. Ide et al., in the Sixteenth IAEA Fusion Energy Conference, Montréal, 1996 (IAEA, Vienna, 1997), Vol.~3, pp. 253–264.
- [8] Y. Sakamoto, Y. Kamada, S. Ide *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **41**, 865 (2001)
- [9] T. Fujita, S. Ide, Y. Kamada *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 085001 (2001).
- [10] T. Fujita, T. Oikawa, T. Suzuki *et al.*, in Bulletin of the Japan Soc. of Plasma Sci. and Nucl. Fusion Res., 2000, 27aB06.
- [11] T. Fujita, T. Oikawa, T. Suzuki *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 245001 (2001).
- [12] T. Fujita, Y. Kamada, S. Ide *et al.*, to appear in Nucl. Fusion.
- [13] S. Ide, O. Naito, T. Oikawa *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion 40, 3Y, 445 (2000).
- [14] T.S. Harm and K. Burrell, Phys. Plasma 2, 1648 (1995).