LHD Divertor Experimental Scenario

OHYABU Nobuyoshi*, KOMORI Akio, SUZUKI Hajime, MORISAKI Tomohiro, MASUZAKI Suguru, FUNABA Hisamichi, NODA Nobuaki, NAKAMURA Yukio, SAGARA Akio, INOUE Noriyuki, SAKAMOTO Ryuichi, INAGAKI Sigeru, MORITA Sigeru, TAKEIRI Yasuhiko, WATANABE Tsuguhiro, MOTOJIMA Osamu, FUJIWARA Masami and IIYOSHI Atsuo National Institute for Fusion Science, Toki 509-5292, Japan

(Received: 30 September 1997/Accepted: 22 October 1997)

Abstract

A scenario is presented for LHD divertor experiments. It includes local island operation, simultaneous achievement of H-mode and radiative cooling, high temperature divertor plasma operation.

Keywords:

divertor, heliotron, H-mode, radiative cooling, high temperature divertor operation, local island divertor

1. Introduction

The National Institute for Fusion Science (NIFS) is constructing a large, superconducting l=2 heliotron/ torsatron type device, called the Large Helical Device (LHD)[1,2]. Its experiment will start in 1998, aiming at demonstrating the attractiveness of the heliotron type device at more reactor relevant plasma parameters. The divertor is expected to play a key role in improving the quality of the helical plasmas[3]. Various innovative divertor concepts have been developed for this purpose and described in section 2. Then a scenario for LHD divertor experiments is described in section 3.

2. LHD Divertor

2.1 Helical divertor geometry

In LHD, two divertor magnetic geometries, helical and island geometries are to be employed for diverting the outflowing plasma. The helical divertor utilizes inherent divertor magnetic configuration in LHD, which is fairly complex and three dimensional [3]. Its structure at a poloidal plane (constant ϕ plane) is shown in Fig. 1. In the outer region outside the closed magnetic surface region, several island layers with toroidal mode number of 10 are embedded and at outer radii, the poloidal mode number of the island layer decreases and





Fig. 1 Schematic view of the LHD helical divertor (poloidal plane at ϕ = 18°). Edge magnetic structure is shown by a Poincare plot of the field lines. The radial extent of the edge structure for the standard configuration is much smaller than that shown here.

the size of the island increases. Eventually the layers overlap, resulting in a stochastic field region. Beyond the stochastic region, there exists a region with multiple

> ©1998 by The Japan Society of Plasma Science and Nuclear Fusion Research



Fig. 2 (a) Schematic view of the Local Island Divertor (LID), (b) m/n=1/1 island geometry for LID.

thin curved layers (the edge surface layer region), of which structure is created by radial movement of the X-point and the high local rotational transform and high local shear on the large major radius side of the torus. A puncture plot of the field line in Fig. 1 is obtained by tracing with starting points just inside the stochastic region. Field lines from the stochastic region enter these surface layers and after many toroidal circulations, they reach the "X-point" of the "separatrix" and then hit the divertor plate. There exist regions without the puncture points between the layers, meaning that the field lines in these regions are not connected to the stochastic region. Instead they are connected to the divertor plates. For example, a group of the field lines in region 0 moves as a whole into the region 1 (-1) after 36 degree toroidal forward (backward) rotation and move into the region 2 (-2) and so on, finally reaching the divertor plate.

2.2 Local Island Divertor (LID)

The LID is a closed divertor utilizing the island geometry [4,5]. The separatrix of the island (n/m=1/1)provides a sharp separation between the closed and open regions. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the outward heat and particle flux cross the island separatrix by perpendicular diffusion and flow along the field lines toward the rear of the island, where target plates handle the heat load. The particles recycled there are pumped away very effectively by cryopumps. Its pumping efficiency is designed to be as high as 30%. Because of localization of the recycling, pumping is technically easy, but its power handling capability is limited to 4 MW.

One of the remarkable features of the island divertor configuration is a very sharp transition (within 2 mm in the radial direction) from the last closed magnetic surface (LCMS) to the open region in contrast to the helical divertor with a wide transition width (greater than 50 mm). This could be important for generating a so-called H-mode thermal barrier (with typical radial width of 10–20 mm) located just inside the LCMS. In helical devices, H-mode [6] so far has been achieved only when $\iota/2\pi$ at the LCMS is 1.0 or 0.5 (the major rational surfaces) (in W7AS [7] and CHS [8]), but improvement of the energy confinement (τ_E) is very modest. With a closed divertor separatrix at $\iota/2\pi = 1.0$, a significant τ_E improvement might be achieved.

2.3 Simultaneous achievement of H-mode and radiative Cooling (SHC Operation)

A new boundary control scheme (SHC Operation)



Fig. 3 The magnetic configuration for Simultaneous Achievement of H-mode and Radiative Cooling.

has been proposed [9], which could allow simultaneous achievement of the H-mode type confinement improvement and edge radiative cooling with wide heat flux distribution. In our proposed configuration, the m/n=1/1 island sharply separates the plasma confining region from the open "ergodic" boundary (Fig. 3). The connection length (between the point just outside of the LCMS and the divertor plate) is ~ 200 m (\sim $8 \times 2\pi$ R). It may be equivalent to tokamak poloidal divertors with long divertor channels. When collision with neutral particle is minimized by a baffle, high degree of openness in the ergodic boundary makes the plasma pressure constant along the field line, which in turn separates low density plasma just outside the plasma confining region (the key external condition for achieving a good H-mode discharge[5]) from very high density, cold plasma near the wall (required for effective radiative cooling). In this approach, the magnetic configuration is the same as that of LID, but there is no divertor head inserted in the island.

2.4 High Temperature Divertor Plasma Operation (HT-Operation)

In the HT-operation[3], the edge temperature is raised up to a high value, several keV by efficient pumping and the resultant high edge temperature hopefully leads to enhancement in the energy confinement. The edge temperature is estimated to be $T_{\text{div}} = W\xi/\gamma$ for an NBI heated and fueled discharge where W, ξ , γ , are the beam energy, the pumping efficiency and the transmission coefficient at the sheath respectively, *e.g.*, $T_{\text{div}} \sim -4 \text{ keV}$ for W ~ 180 keV, $\xi \sim 0.2$, $\gamma \sim 10$. In this operation, a peaked density profile is maintained by a combination of deep fueling such as neutral beam or pellet injection and efficient particle pumping. Thus the diffusion coefficient (D) and hence the particle confinement becomes important in determining the energy confinement. This is a desirable feature for the energy confinement in LHD where high ripple induced electron heat loss tends to suppress the temperature gradient. However, the effective D is not high because the ions are well confined by $E \times B$ drift. Furthermore, the radial electric field in such a plasma regime is positive and hence neoclassical outward impurity pinch [10] will prevent the impurity contamination.

The major uncertainty of this operation is unexpected interactions of high temperature edge plasma with the divertor plates. Recent results from low recycling tokamak divertor operations (JET[11], JT60-U[12]) are encouraging; fairly high edge ion temperature has been observed without accompanying any severe impurity problem.

The HT operation requires an efficient hydrogen pumping, motivating development of pumping schemes for LHD such as carbon sheet pumping [13] and membrane pumping [14]. In these pumping systems, thin pumping sheets cover a significant fraction of the vessel wall near the divertor region and absorb atomic hydrogen particles recycled from the divertor. For reactor application of the HT-operation, however, a new divertor magnetic geometry needs to be explored, which guides the outward flowing plasma to a very remote area with weak magnetic field, thereby allowing effective pumping and reliable heat removal even in the reactor environments. Taking advantage of a fact that relatively strong magnetic field extends beyond the helical coil cage in heliotron devices, such a divertor geometry can be configured [15].

3. LHD Divertor Experimental Schedule

In the very early stage, we use the helical divertor with open geometry, characterized with a large volume of open edge region. It is ideal for radiative cooling operation. But it is probably not suitable in achieving an H-mode type confinement improvement.

Then we will install the LID, which will allow low recycling discharges. This may lead to better confinement regime. With the LID experiment (even though the power is limited to 4 MW) being done before the optimized helical divertor experiment, we will obtain critical information as to edge plasma behavior in LHD, particularly, physics insights into the relation between the edge plasma and the core plasma confinement and thus can optimize the design of the (upgrade) helical divertor. In addition, the LID discharge operation for an hour at low power ($100 \sim 500$ kW) will be a very



Fig. 4 A candidate for the upgrade divertor configuration.

effective discharge cleaning scheme.

Like the present tokamak approach, we will investigate the simultaneous attainment of the H-mode and radiative cooling (SHC operation). For LHD, an m/n=1/1 magnetic island at the edge may play a key role, providing new important features: (i) the LCFS can be defined sharply, (ii) the cooling volume can be adjustable somewhat.

With pumping panels (carbon sheet or membrane pump) installed, the recycling can be minimized even in open helical divertor with high power (20 MW) handling capability. The edge temperature will be raised up to ~4 keV by NBI injection, thereby leading to enhancement in the energy confinement. However, the cost of such divertors is not small since the total length of the helical divertor leg is as long as 4×40 m. What is required is a simplified closed divertor configuration with high power handling and efficient pumping. The configuration depicted in Fig. 4 may satisfy such requirements. A set of divertor plate units (ten units in total) are located radially at slightly inner side of the "X-point" and poloidally at the small major radius side of the torus ($135^\circ < \theta < 225^\circ$). The majority of the outward flowing plasma particles are intersected by these divertor plates. The total area of the plate receiving the heat is expected to be around 2 m^2 and thus withstand 20 MW heating power. It extends helically only 10×2 m instead of 4×40 m and thus its cost is an order of magnitude lower. Moreover, the shape of this type divertor unit is much simpler, the size of the unit is reasonably small, $0.3 \text{ m} \times 2.0 \text{ m}$ and thus it can be designed to handle a steady state input power flux of 10 MWm⁻². For HT operation, pumping panels of the carbon sheet pump or the membrane pump are installed on the vacuum vessel wall near the divertor plate, as shown in Fig. 4. They will absorb the recycled nyūrogen particles efficiently. For the SHC operation, localization of the recycling in the open region is an important requirement. It can be realized because the width of the open plasma region in front of the divertor plate is greater than 10 cm and the expected plasma density at LCMS is 2×10^{13} cm⁻³.

References

- [1] A. Iiyoshi *et al.*, Fusion Technology **17**, 169 (1990).
- [2] O. Motojima *et al.*, Fusion Engineering and Design 20, 3 (1993).
- [3] N. Ohyabu et al., Nucl. Fusion 34, 387 (1994).
- [4] N. Ohyabu *et al.*, J. Nucl. Mater. **145-147**, 844 (1994).
- [5] A. Komori et al., Nuclear Fusion Research, 1996, IAEA-CN-64/C1-2.
- [6] F. Wagner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1408 (1982).
- [7] V. Erckmann *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2086 (1993).
- [8] K. Toi et al., Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, 1992 (Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Wurzburg, 1992), Vol.2, IAEA. Vienna, 461 (1993).
- [9] N. Ohyabu, J. of Plasma and Fusion Research 71, 1238 (1995).
- [10] K.S Shaing, Phys. Fluids 26, 3164 (1983).
- [11] H. Weisen et al., Nucl. Fusion 31, 2247 (1991).
- [12] M. Shimada et al., Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research, 1992 (Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Wurzburg, 1992), Vol.1, IAEA, Vienna, 57 (1993).
- [13] A. Sagara, N. Ohyabu, H. Suzuki and O. Motojima, J. Nucl. Mater. 220-222, 627 (1995).
- [14] A.I. Livshits et al., J. Nucl. Mater. 170, 79 (1990).
- [15] H. Takase and N. Ohyabu, Nucl. Fusion 35, 123 (1994).