## 24P36

## Heat transport Analysis Based on Ion Temperature Profile in High Intense Gas Puffing Experiment on Heliotron J

CY. Wang<sup>1</sup>, S. Kobayashi<sup>2</sup>, K. Nagasaki<sup>2</sup>, DC. Qiu<sup>1</sup>, MY. Luo<sup>1</sup>, PF. Zhang<sup>1</sup>,

K.Y. Watanabe<sup>3</sup>, R. Seki<sup>3</sup>, R. Matoike<sup>1</sup>, A. Miyashita, <sup>1</sup>Y. Kondo<sup>1</sup>, K. Inoshita<sup>1</sup>, S. Inagaki<sup>2</sup>, F. Kin<sup>2</sup>

T. Minami<sup>2</sup>, S. Kado<sup>2</sup>, S. Ohshima<sup>2</sup>, S. Konoshima<sup>2</sup>, T. Mizzuchi<sup>2</sup>, H. Okada<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Energy Science, Kyoto University, 611-0011 Uji, Kyoto, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Advanced Energy, Kyoto University, 611-011 Uji, Kyoto, Japan

<sup>3</sup>National Institute for Fusion Science, 509-5292 Toki City, Gifu, Japan

The Heliotron J device achieves a peak density profile using a high-intensity gas puffing (HIGP) method. By controlling the pulse width and the intensity of HIGP, the peaked density profile was controlled from 2.0 to  $3.5 \times 10^{19}$ m<sup>-3</sup> with different core densities.

In previous work <sup>[1]</sup>, we compared the heat transport coefficient  $\chi$  of the HIGP plasma with a peaked density profile, with that of a conventional gas puffing (GP) plasma with a flat density profile. The peaked density profile case found a low heat transport coefficient at the core region.

In this study, 4 sets of HIGP discharges with different densities were compared to investigate the density dependence of the heat transport: the core density of  $3.5 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$  (case A),  $3.0 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$  (case B),  $2.5 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$  (case C): and  $2.0 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$  (case D). The density profiles are shown in figure 1. As shown in figure 2, the electron and ion temperatures for the four cases are similar, and the maximum temperature difference at the same position is no more than 20eV.

The heat transport coefficient for the four cases was calculated based on the FIT3D code <sup>[2]</sup> and the TR-SNAP code <sup>[3]</sup>. The result of the analysis is shown in figure 3. The electron heat transport coefficient decreases as the density increases in the range of  $2.5 \sim 3.5 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ . At the range of  $r/a = 0.0 \sim 0.6$ , the difference between case C and case D is not so obvious, which's core density is  $2.5 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$  and  $2.0 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ . The ion heat transport coefficient does not change much in the density range of  $2.5 \sim 3.5 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ . However, when the core density is  $2.0 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ , the ion heat transport coefficient is higher than the three other cases

at the region  $r/a = 0.15 \sim 0.66$ .

In conclusion, the electron heat transport coefficient will decrease while the density increases when the density varies from 2.5 to  $3.5 \times 10^{19}$ m<sup>-3</sup>. On the other hand, the ion heat transport coefficient is not so sensitive to the variation of density, although we observed some small decreases while the density increased.

## Reference

- [1] CY. Wang et al, *48<sup>th</sup> EPS conf*, P5a.120
- [2] S Murakami et al. Trans. Fusion Tech. 27 256 (1995)
- [3] R Seki et al. Plasma and Fusion Research 62402081 (2011)



