

LHDにおける共鳴摂動磁場印加実験  
**RMP Experiments in LHD**

榊原 悟<sup>1</sup>, 鈴木康浩<sup>1</sup>, 成嶋吉朗<sup>1</sup>, 武村勇輝<sup>2</sup>, 渡邊清政<sup>1</sup>, 田中謙治<sup>1</sup>, 居田克巳<sup>1</sup>,  
 山田弘司<sup>1</sup>, LHD実験グループ<sup>1</sup>  
 Satoru SAKAKIBARA<sup>1</sup>, Yasuhiro SUZUKI<sup>1</sup>, Yoshiro NARUSHIMA<sup>1</sup>, Yuki TAKEMURA<sup>2</sup>,  
 Kiyomasa WATANABE<sup>1</sup> et al.

核融合研<sup>1</sup>、総研大物理<sup>2</sup>  
 NIFS<sup>1</sup>, Grad. Univ. Advanced Studies<sup>2</sup>

The existence of an error field is one of the common problems in magnetic confinement systems because it may degrade plasma confinement and trigger MHD instabilities. The RMP experiments have been done in many tokamaks and RFPs to identify the threshold of error field penetration, which is required for estimating the tolerance to the error field in ITER. Here we have investigated the threshold of the perturbation field strength by changing the RMP field in varying magnetic shear and magnetic hill of LHD so as to find the common physics of the effect of an error field in both tokamaks and helical devices [1]. The magnetic shear can be changed by controlling helical coil pitch parameter,  $\gamma_c$ , where  $\gamma_c$  is defined as  $1.282a_c$  and  $a_c$  is minor radius of helical coil. When the  $\gamma_c$  decreases from 1.20 to 1.13, the magnetic shear,  $(1/r)d\theta/d\rho$ , is reduced from 2.03 to 1.08. The magnetic well/hill is changed by shifting the magnetic axis position ( $R_{ax}$ ). In the experiments, toroidal field at  $R_{ax}$  was set at 0.9 T and balanced neutral beams were applied for plasma heating because of suppression of net toroidal current and toroidal plasma flow. The  $\gamma_c$  and  $R_{ax}$  were set at 1.13-1.20 and 3.6-3.75 m, respectively. The RMP field with  $m/n = 1/1$  was ramped-up to 0.8 kA/T during a discharge and both polarity was applied in the experiments.

In the weak magnetic shear configuration with  $\gamma_c = 1.13$ , the magnetic island with  $m/n = 1/1$  appeared even in the case of no RMP, and flattening structure of electron temperature profile was observed around the resonance. The island width increased with negative RMP field. When the positive RMP was applied, the island was suppressed once and increased again with the RMP field. In the strong magnetic shear configuration, RMP field is shielded till RMP current exceeded a threshold. After the

penetration, the island had larger size than given RMP field and reduced the total stored energy. Results of different shear experiments indicate that (1) the threshold of the mode penetration linearly increases with the magnetic shear, (2) the size of penetrated island is larger than that given by RMP and (3) the thresholds obtained from both polarity RMP field have an offset. The offset is almost constant in any magnetic shear configurations and corresponds to an error field in LHD. The plasma flow was measured with Charge Exchange spectroscopy in the experiments, and there is no significant difference between different shear discharges.

In order to investigate the effect of magnetic well/hill on the penetration, the magnetic hill was mitigated by shifting  $R_{ax}$  to the outward with keeping the strength of the magnetic shear ( $\gamma_c = 1.13$ ). The experiments show that the error field was shielded in the mitigated hill configuration ( $R_{ax} = 3.75$ m), whereas it appeared in the enhanced hill case (3.6 m). When the  $R_{ax}$  was shifted from 3.75 m to 3.6 m during a discharge, the island appeared at  $R_{ax} < 3.61$  m. Finally, the RMP field was ramped up in the mitigated hill configuration and RMP field was not penetrated in the range of  $I_{RMP}/B_t < 0.8$  kA/T, which means that the threshold of the mode penetration is much higher than the enhanced magnetic hill case.

These experiments show that the threshold of the mode penetration has clear dependence of the magnetic shear and/or magnetic well/hill, which suggests that the stability parameter of the interchange stability index ( $D_1$  etc.) is one of candidates for the threshold of the penetration in addition to the plasma flow.

[1] S.Sakakibara et al., Proc. Of 24<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference (San Diego, USA, 8-13 Oct. 2012) EX/P4-30.