Numerical Analysis of Spatial Non-Uniformity in Negative Ion Source with Tent-Shaped Magnetic Filter

テント型磁場配位を用いた水素負イオン源プラズマの 空間的非一様性形成機構に関する数値シミュレーション

<u>T. Yamamoto¹</u>, T. Shibata¹, M. Yoshida², A. Hatayama¹, A. Kojima², M. Kashiwagi², M. Hanada² 山本尚史¹, 柴田崇統¹, 吉田 雅史², 畑山 明聖¹, 小島 有志², 柏木 美恵子², 花田 磨砂也²

¹Graduate School of Science and Technology Keio University, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan ²Japan Atomic Energy Agency, 801-1 Mukouyama, Naka 311-0193, Japan ¹慶應義塾大学大学院理工学研究科 〒223-8522 横浜市港北区日吉3-14-1 ²日本原子力研究開発機構 〒311-0193 那珂市向山801-1

Atomic production rate has been calculated in the driver region and the extraction region in the JT-60 SA negative ion source by the H_2 collisional radiative (CR) model with the electron energy distribution function (EEDF) obtained from the KEIO-MARC code. Also surface H^- production rate has been estimated with simple assumptions from the H^0 production in each region. The spatial distribution of the H^0 production in the driver region has strong correlation with the spatial profile of the H^- production because of the high production rate and the long mean free path of the H^0 atoms. The results suggest that controlling of the EEDF and the resultant H^0 production in the driver region may lead to uniform H^- beam extraction.

1. Introduction

In the neutral beam injection (NBI) for fusion plasmas, it is one of the serious issues that the spatial non-uniformity of H⁻ ions in negative ion sources causes local degradation of beam divergence [1]. In order to improve the uniformity of H⁻ ions, the magnetic filter in JT-60 SA negative ion source was modified from the Plasma Grid (PG) filter to the tent-shaped filter [2]. However, even with the tent-shaped filter, the H⁻ current density profile becomes non-uniform in the end part of the extraction area in the longitudinal direction. Namely, the H⁻ current density in the end part is lower than that in the center region by a factor of 0.6. In order to understand the non-uniformity, the electron density and the electron temperature in the extraction region have been measured by Langmuir probe [2]. The longitudinal distributions of the electron density and the electron temperature do not have strong correlation with the H⁻ current density profile. From the previous study [3], it has been shown that the first-flight H⁰ atom flux (FFAF) from the driver region greatly affects the production of H⁻ ions.

In order to understand the correlation of the electron parameters with the H⁻ current density profile in the experiments, we have first calculated the EEDF in the driver region and the extraction region by the KEIO-MARC code [4]. Based on the EEDF, the H⁰ production rate by the H₂ dissociation processes has been calculated by the H₂ collisional

radiative (CR) model for electronically excited states [5]. Finally, based on the H^0 production rate, FFAF on the PG surface is calculated to obtain H^- surface production rate.

2. Simulation Model

In the present study, the KEIO-MARC code is applied to calculate the EEDF in the JT-60 SA negative ion source. The experimental setup of the ion source is briefly explained in Ref. [2]. The brief summary of the numerical code is mentioned in Ref. [4]. In the calculation, the source chamber is divided into small cells with volume 1 cm × 1 cm × 1 cm. The H₂ CR model is also applied to calculate the local H⁰ production rate with the EEDF obtained from the KEIO-MARC code in each cell.

The spatial distributions of H⁻ production rate due to the H⁰ atoms from the driver region and the extraction region are estimated by a simple model of H⁰ transport. The main assumptions in the model are shown as follows. The produced H⁰ atoms have isotropic velocity distribution with Franck-Condon energy (2.15 eV). The collisions between H⁰ atoms and other particles are neglected, because the mean free path (MFP) of the H⁰ atoms is larger than the size of the source chamber as mentioned later. The H⁰ atoms are assumed to be removed at the wall. The probability of the H⁻ production due to the surface production is calculated from the equation of Rasser as in Ref. [6].

21PA-006

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the spatial distribution of the H⁰ production rate and the electron density. The horizontal axis Z is the distance from the PG surface. The vertical axis is the H⁰ production rate and the electron density averaged in the XY plane. The H^0 production in the driver region (Z = 340 mm) is higher than that in the extraction region by an order of magnitude. The MFP of H⁰ atoms is roughly estimated to be $1/n_i\sigma$, where n_i and σ are H⁺ density and cross section of charge exchange process between ground state H⁰ atoms and H⁺ ions, respectively, because the dominant collision process for the first-flight H⁰ atoms is considered to be charge exchange. The cross section σ can be fixed as $4.0 \times 10^{-19} \text{ m}^2$ for H⁺ temperature 1 eV. The H⁺ density is assumed to be equal to the electron density due to the quasi-neutrality. For the calculation of MFP, the highest electron density in Fig. 1 $(1.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3})$ at Z = 340 mm is applied to obtain the minimum MFP. The MFP is calculated to be 2.1 m, which is larger than the size of the source chamber < 1 m.

Figure 2 shows the longitudinal distribution of H⁻ surface production rate. The blue line and the red line are the H^- production rate due to the H^0 atoms from the driver region (Z = 340 mm) and the extraction region (Z = 20 mm), respectively. The horizontal axis is the H⁻ production rate averaged in transverse direction (X direction). The vertical axis Y is the longitudinal position on extraction area. The H⁻ production rate due to the H^0 atoms from the driver region is higher than that in the extraction region by a factor of 4 - 11. Hence, the spatial profile of the H⁻ production is mainly determined by that of the H⁰ production in the driver region. The longitudinal profile of the Hproduction shows the similar spatial distribution as the H⁻ current density from the measurement shown in Ref. [2].

4. Conclusion

The spatial profile of the H^0 production rate in the JT-60 SA negative ion source has been calculated by the KEIO-MARC code and the H₂ CR model. The H⁻ production is mainly due to the H⁰ production not in the extraction region but in the driver region (Z = 340 mm) because of the high production rate and the long MFP of the H⁰ atoms. Therefore, the EEDF and the resultant H⁰ production in the driver region are strongly correlated with the H⁻ production. Moreover, the longitudinal profile of the H⁻ production shows similar spatial distribution as the H⁻ current density from the measurement shown in Ref. [2]. The controlling of the EEDF and the resultant H^0 production in the driver region is very important for the uniform H^- production. However, many critical assumptions are applied for the H^0 transport. The detailed study, which takes into account precise H^0 transport process, is reported in the future.



Fig. 1 Spatial distributions of the H⁰ production rate and the electron density.



Fig. 2 Spatial distributions of the H^- production rate due to the H^0 atoms from the driver region and the extraction region.

References

- [1] M. Hanada, T. Seki, N. Takado, T. Inoue, T. Mizuno, *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **46**, S318 (2006).
- [2] M. Yoshida, M. Hanada, A. Kojima, M. Kashiwagi, *et al.*, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **85**, 02B314 (2014).
- [3] N. Takado, H. Tobari, T. Inoue, J. Hanatani, A. Hatayama, *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **103**, 053302 (2008).
- [4] T. Shibata, M. Kashiwagi, T. Inoue, A.Hatayama, and M. Hanada: J. Appl. Phys. 114, 143301 (2013).
- [5] T. Yamamoto, T. Shibata, M. Kashiwagi, A. Hatayama, K. Sawada, and M. Hanada: Rev. Sci. Instrum. 85, 02B125 (2014).
- [6] B. Rasser, J. N. M. Van Wunnik, and J. Los: Surf. Sci. 118, 697(1982).