# Analysis of plasma fluctuation observed in low q tokamak HYBTOK-II with resonant magnetic perturbation

低qトカマクHYBTOK-IIにおける共鳴摂動磁場印加時のプラズマ揺動解析

<u>Yuki Hayashi<sup>1</sup></u>, Yousuke Suzuki<sup>1</sup>, Noriyasu Ohno<sup>1</sup>, Masaaki Okamoto<sup>2</sup>, Yusuke Kikuchi<sup>3</sup>, Shin kajita<sup>4</sup>, Satoru Sakakibara<sup>5</sup>, Yasuhiro Suzuki<sup>5</sup>, Kiyomasa Watanabe<sup>5</sup>, and Yuki Takemura<sup>5</sup> <u>林 祐貴<sup>1</sup></u>, 鈴木 陽介<sup>1</sup>, 大野 哲靖<sup>1</sup>, 岡本 征晃<sup>2</sup>, 菊池 祐介<sup>3</sup>, 梶田 信<sup>4</sup>, 榊原 悟<sup>5</sup>, 鈴木 康浩<sup>5</sup>, 渡邊 清政<sup>5</sup>, 武村 勇輝<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8603, Japan <sup>2</sup>Ishikawa National College Technology, Kitachujo, Tsubata, Kahoku-gun, Ishikawa 929-0392, Japan <sup>3</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, University of Hyogo, Shosha, Himeji-shi, Hyogo 671-2280, Japan <sup>4</sup>EcoTopia Science Institute, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8603, Japan <sup>5</sup>National Institute for Fusion Science, 322-6 Oroshi-cho, Toki, Gifu 509-5292, Japan <sup>1</sup>名古屋大学工学研究科, 〒464-8603 名古屋市千種区不老町 <sup>2</sup>石川工業高等専門学校, 〒929-0392 石川県河北郡津幡町北中条タ1 <sup>3</sup>兵庫県立大学工学研究科, 〒671-2280 兵庫県姫路市書写2167 <sup>4</sup>名古屋大学エコトピア研究機構, 〒464-8603 名古屋市千種区不老町 <sup>5</sup>自然科学研究機構 核融合科学研究所, 〒509-5292 岐阜県土岐市下石町322-6

In order to understand the interaction between externally applied resonant magnetic perturbation (RMP) field and plasma instability, magnetic signals have been measured in a discharge with low plasma surface safety factor in a small tokamak device HYBTOK-II. The m/n = 2/1 RMP field suppressed the m/n = 3/2 mode. Moreover the fluctuation induced by the RMP was enhanced due to the response of plasma instability.

## 1. Introduction

For fusion reactors, it is important to avoid so-called magnetic islands induced by tearing instability, which has an unfavorable effect on plasma confinement and can be the direct cause of minor and major disruptions. Externally applied resonant magnetic perturbation (RMP) field coils are installed in many large tokamak devices in order to control the particle transport in the pedestal region for edge localized mode (ELM) mitigation [1]. RMP coils also play a role in suppressing the growth of magnetic islands [2].

It is important to understand the interaction between RMP field and tokamak plasma [3]. So far, the penetration processes of RMP field into the plasma have been studied in the small tokamak HYBTOK-II. Although RMP field was shielded and enhanced due to the effects of plasma rotation and Alfvén wave, the relation between RMP penetration and plasma instability has not been discussed [4, 5].

In this study, in order to understand the effects of RMP penetration process on plasma instability, magnetic signals when applying the RMP in the low q discharge have been measured and analyzed

on a small tokamak device.

## 2. Experimental Setup

Experiments were performed in HYBTOK-II, which is a circular, small sized, limiter tokamak device with a major radius of 40 cm and a minor radius of 11cm. As shown in Fig. 1, the RMP coils are installed outside the vacuum vessel with the poloidal and toroidal mode numbers of m = 2 and n= 1, respectively. These coils are powered independently with a phase difference of 90 degree in order to rotate the perturbation field in the



Fig. 1 m/n = 2/1 RMP coils on HYBTOK-II.

directions of clockwise (CW) and counter-clockwise (CCW) with respect to the plasma current. The radial profiles of RMP in the plasma were obtained with a magnetic probe array measuring the radial component of magnetic field  $B_r$ . In addition, another magnetic probe array is also installed to measure the poloidal component of magnetic field  $B_{\theta}$  for the *q* profile.

#### **3. Experimental Results**

Fig. 2 shows the time evolution of (a) plasma current  $I_p$ , (b) plasma surface safety factor  $q_a$ , (c) mode frequency calculated by externally installed magnetic probes, and amplitudes of (d) m/n = 2/1 mode and (e) m/n = 3/2 mode for ion and electron diamagnetic drift directions. Here, the directions of ion diamagnetic (i.d.) and electron diamagnetic (e.d.) drift are corresponding to CW and CCW directions, respectively. The RMP was applied from 11 to 17 ms with the frequency of 1 kHz in the CW



Fig. 2 Time evolution of (a) plasma current  $I_p$ , (b) plasma surface safety factor  $q_a$ , (c) mode frequency, amplitudes of (d) m/n = 2/1 and (e) m/n = 3/2.



Fig. 3 Radial profile of (a) normalized standard deviation of  $B_r$  and (b) safety factor.

direction. Before applying the RMP, the m/n = 3/-2mode fluctuation is dominant, as shown in Fig. 2 (c) and (e). When applying the RMP, however, the growth of m/n = -2/1 mode was observed due to the RMP field. This result indicates that the m/n = 3/-2mode is suppressed by applying the RMP.

In order to investigate the RMP penetration process, the frequency components of m/n = 2/1have been picked up from  $B_r$  signals obtained by magnetic probe array using the analytical technique of band-pass filter. Fig. 3 (a) shows the radial profile of standard deviation of  $B_r$ , the components of m/n = 2/1 mode. Furthermore this is normalized by the standard deviation of original  $B_r$  signals  $\sigma$ . The profiles of m/n = 2/1 components between with and without plasma are compared in Fig. 3 (b). Although the current with RMP was same in both cases, the fluctuation induced by RMP in the plasma is larger than that in the case of vacuum. This enhancement would be caused by the response of plasma instability.

### Acknowledgments

This work is performed with the support and under the auspices of the NIFS Collaboration Research program (NIFS14KOAH031) and partially supported by NIFS/NINS under the project of Formation of International Science Base and Network.

#### References

- [1] T.E. Evans, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 235003.
- [2] B. Rao, et al., Phys. Lett. A377 (2013) 315.
- [3] M. Furukawa, J. Plasma Fusion Res. 88 (2012) 158.
- [4] Y. Kikuchi, et al., Nucl. Fusion 44 (2004) S28.
- [5] Y. Yang, et al., Nucl. Fuision 52 (2012) 074014.