# Development of electron-density diagnostics in high-pressure plasmas using phase-modulated dispersion interferometry

位相変調2倍高調波干渉計を用いた高気圧プラズマ

電子密度診断研究とその展開

<u>K. Urabe</u><sup>1,2</sup>, T. Akiyama<sup>3</sup>, N. Shirai<sup>4</sup>, K. Tomita<sup>5</sup>, T. Murakami<sup>6</sup>, and K. Terashima<sup>1</sup> 占部継一郎<sup>1,2</sup>, 秋山毅志<sup>3</sup>, 白井直機<sup>4</sup>, 富田健太郎<sup>5</sup>, 村上朝之<sup>6</sup>, 寺嶋和夫<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Advanced Materials Science, The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8561, Japan 東京大学 物質系専攻, 〒277-8561 千葉県柏市柏の葉5-1-5 <sup>2</sup>Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo 102-0083, Japan 日本学術振興会, 〒102-0083 東京都千代田区麹町5-3-1 <sup>3</sup>National Institute of Fusion Science, Toki, Gifu 509-5292, Japan 核融合科学研究所, 〒509-5292 岐阜県土岐市下石町322-6 <sup>4</sup>Department of Electrical & Electronic Engineering, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan 首都大学東京 電気電子工学専攻, 〒192-0397 東京都八王子市南大沢1-1 <sup>5</sup>Department of Applied Science for Electronics & Materials, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Fukuoka 816-8580, Japan 九州大学 量子プロセス理工学専攻, 〒816-8580 福岡県春日市春日公園 6-1 <sup>6</sup>Department of Energy Sciences, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Yokohama, Kanagawa 226-8503, Japan

東京工業大学 創造エネルギー専攻, 〒226-8503 神奈川県横浜市緑区長津田町4259

Phase-modulated dispersion interferometry (PMDI) is a laser interferometry technique that was first developed for electron-density measurement of plasmas generated in large fusion reactors. We have demonstrated the potential of the PMDI for the diagnostics of low-temperature plasmas generated at high pressures. Most of refractive-index variation induced by the change of gas number density is eliminated in signal processing of the PMDI, and this feature contributes to accurate electron-density determination in high-pressure plasmas. In this paper, we introduce characteristics of the PMDI at NIFS in high-pressure plasma diagnostics and electron-density measurement of atmospheric-pressure plasmas by cross-check experiments using the PMDI and laser Thomson scattering spectroscopy at Kyushu Univ.

## **1. Introduction**

Laser interferometry is a useful technique to diagnose electron density  $(n_e)$  in plasmas measuring phase shift of probing laser beam induced by variation of refractive index due to the generation of electrons [1]. Recently it has been applied to diagnostics of high-pressure plasmas using MIR CO<sub>2</sub> and NIR diode lasers [2,3]. In the measurement of high-pressure plasmas, the separation of the  $n_e$  and gas number density  $(n_g)$  components mixed in the total phase shift generates uncertainty in the  $n_e$  determination, because the  $n_g$  variation is significant in high-pressure plasmas due to frequent collisions.

In this study, we applied phase-modulated dispersion interferometry (PMDI), which has been developed for the  $n_e$  monitoring in a Large Helical Device (LHD at National Institute for Fusion Science, Japan) [4], to high-pressure low-temperature plasma diagnostics. Dispersion interferometry [5] is considered as a promissing tool for determining  $n_e$  in fusion plasmas, since it automatically cancels influence of mechanical vibrations during the measurement. We found that

it is also possible to cancel the phase shift induced by the  $n_g$  variation in and around the high-pressure plasma using the cancelling analogy of mechanical vibrations in the PMDI [6].

### 2. Phase-modulated dispersion interferometry

The PMDI method determines  $n_e$  along the probing laser path from following relationship.

$$\arctan\left(\frac{I_{\omega_{m}}}{I_{2\omega_{m}}}\right) = \frac{3e^{2}\lambda}{8\pi c_{0}^{2}m_{e}\varepsilon_{0}}\int n_{e}dl + \frac{12\pi AB}{\lambda^{3}n_{g0}}\int \Delta n_{g}dl$$

where  $I_{\omega_m}$  and  $I_{2\omega_m}$  are the frequency elements in the measured signal at the fundamental and second harmonic of the phase modulation frequency  $\omega_m$ , eis the charge of an electron,  $\lambda$  is the probing laser wavelength,  $c_0$  is the speed of light in vacuum,  $m_e$  is the mass of an electron,  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of vacuum, A and B are specific constants that depend on the gas species, and  $n_{g0}$  is the gas number density at STP (standard temperature and pressure) condition. Details of the PMDI theory are explained in Refs [4,6]. Compared with the heterodyne interferometry (HI) [2,3], the PMDI has similar  $n_e$ 



Fig.1. Measured signal waveform in the PMDI with the discharge current. Fast signal variations just after on and off timings of the discharge are induced by  $n_e$  variation in the atmospheric-pressure plasma [6].

sensitivity and much less sensitivity to  $n_g$  variation. This means that the effect of  $n_g$  variation in the total measured signal and the minimum detectable  $n_e$  in high-pressure plasma diagnostics become small in the PMDI compared to the HI.

Figure 1 is an example result of PMDI measurement of atmospheric-pressure pulsed dc microdischarge [6]. From the results, it was revealed that the  $n_e$  in the microdischarge was  $9 \times 10^{13}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>, and line-integrated  $n_e$  sensitivity and temporal resolution of the PMDI system at NIFS are  $7 \times 10^{11}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> and of 110 µs respectively.

## 3. Diagnostics of discharge with liquid electrode

Similar to the  $n_e$  diagnostics of fusion plasmas, we are now measuring  $n_e$  in high-pressure plasma sources using the PMDI and laser Thomson scattering spectroscopy [7] under the same discharge conditions. This cross-check experiment enables us to assure the  $n_e$  measurement results and discuss the data obtained in each measurement in more details.

For the cross-check experiments, in addition to plasmas generated in a gap between two metal electrodes, we measured  $n_e$  in a discharge using metal tube and liquid electrodes [8] with a continuously liquid-flowing system. Plasmas with the liquid electrode have attracted interests in recent years since they have potentials to be applied to for example nanomaterials synthesis [9] and plasma medicine [10]. In the presentation, experimental results of the  $n_e$  measurement in a pulsed dc microdischarge with the liquid electrode are introduced and we discuss the effect of liquid electrode on the discharge behaviors comparing with a case of the metal electrodes.

#### 4. Summary

From a viewpoint of eliminating the  $n_{\rm g}$ component in the measured signal, the PMDI method is advantageous over the conventional HI method. This feature improves the  $n_{\rm e}$  resolution in the PMDI measurement particularly for plasmas generated under high-pressure conditions. The minimum limit of  $n_{\rm e}$  detection in PMDI is comparable to that in Thomson scattering; therefore, we can proceed our research to cross-check experiments of  $n_{\rm e}$  measurement for various low-temperature plasma sources such as atmospheric-pressure microdischarge with the liquid electrode.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (Frontier Science of Interactions between Plasmas and Nano-Interfaces, Grant No. 21110002) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan; by Grants-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (Grant No. 20760584), Young Scientists (A) (Grant No. 24686104), and JSPS Fellows (Grant No. 12J03125) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science; and by an NIFS budget under Contract No. ULHH027.

## References

- I. H. Hutchinson: *Principles of Plasma Diagnostics,* Second Edition (Cambridge University Press, New York, 2002): Cambridge University Press) Chap. 4.
- [2] F. Leipold, R. H. Stark, A. El-Habachi and K. H. Schoenbach: J. Phys. D 33 (2000) 2268.
- [3] K. Urabe, H. Muneoka, S. Stauss and K. Terashima: Appl. Phys. Express **6** (2013) 126101.
- [4] T. Akiyama, K. Kawahata, S. Okajima and K. Nakayama: Plasma Fusion Res. 5 (2010) S1041.
- [5] A. Hopf, A. Tomita and G. Al-Jumaily: Opt. Lett. 5 (1980) 386.
- [6] K. Urabe, T. Akiyama and K. Terashima: J. Phys. D 47 (2014) 262001.
- [7] K. Tomita, K. Nagai, T. Shimizu, N. Bolouki, Y. Yamagata, K. Uchino and T. Kaneko: Appl. Phys. Express 7 (2014) 066101.
- [8] N. Shirai, S. Uchida and F. Tochikubo: Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 23 (2014) 054010.
- [9] For example, D. Mariotti and R. M. Sankaran: J. Phys. D 43 (2010) 323001.
- [10] For example, M. G. Kong, G. Kroesen, G. Morfill, T. Nosenko, T. Shimizu, J. van Dijk and J. L. Zimmermann: New J. Phys. **11** (2009) 115102.