Measurement of Radial Correlation Lengths of Electron Density Fluctuations in Heliotron J Using O-Mode Reflectometry

Niels SMITH, Kazunobu NAGASAKI¹⁾, Josefine H.E. PROLL, Hiroyuki OKADA¹⁾, Takashi MINAMI¹⁾, Shin-ichiro KADO¹⁾, Shinji KOBAYASHI¹⁾, Shinsuke OHSHIMA¹⁾, Shigeru KONOSHIMA¹⁾, Tohru MIZUUCHI¹⁾, Yuji NAKAMURA²⁾, Akihiro ISHIZAWA²⁾, Tsuyoshi TOMITA²⁾, Takeshi FUKUDA³⁾ and Yasuto KONDO³⁾

Science and Technology of Nuclear Fusion, Department of Applied Physics, Eindhoven University of Technology (TU/e), 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

¹⁾Institute of Advanced Energy, Kyoto University, Uji 611-0011, Japan
²⁾Graduate School of Energy Science, Kyoto University, Uji 611-0011, Japan
³⁾Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita 565-0871, Japan

(Received 30 April 2020 / Accepted 8 June 2020)

The radial correlation length L_r of electron density fluctuations has been measured using a two-channel Omode reflectometer system in the helical-axis fusion plasma experimental device Heliotron J. The experimental results show that L_r is around 1.4 ± 0.7 mm in three different magnetic field bumpiness configurations (i.e. low, medium and high) for the low-density electron cyclotron heating (ECH) discharges. In high-density neutral beam injection (NBI) discharges with HIGP and pellet injection, L_r is found to be around 1 ± 0.2 mm.

© 2020 The Japan Society of Plasma Science and Nuclear Fusion Research

Keywords: O-mode reflectometry, radial correlation length, electron density fluctuation, Heliotron J, turbulent transport

DOI: 10.1585/pfr.15.1202054

Turbulence in magnetic confinement nuclear fusion reactors is believed to be the dominant driver of radial heat and particle transport in neoclassically optimized toroidal devices such as tokamaks and stellarators/heliotrons. For better particle/energy confinement and reactor control, the structure and transient behavior of turbulence should be understood and possibly mitigated. It is known that electron density fluctuations \tilde{n}_e correlate up to a certain radial correlation length L_r , such that L_r could be an indication of the spatial size of a turbulent eddy. In a series of Doppler reflectometry experiments performed by Fernández-Marina et al. [1] in the TJ-II stellarator, L_r was found to be of the order millimeters to centimeters in lowdensity ECH plasmas ($\bar{n}_e = 0.55 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$). For the ASDEX Upgrade tokamak, Schirmer et al. [2] reported that L_r was 8.8 \pm 0.4 mm in a high-density ECH plasma $(\bar{n}_e = 3.6 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3})$. In this paper, we report the first measurements of the radial correlation length L_r of electron density fluctuations \tilde{n}_e in low-density ECH and highdensity NBI plasmas in Heliotron J using a two-channel O-mode reflectometer system.

Heliotron J is a medium-sized helical-axis stellarator/heliotron device. The device parameters are outlined by Wakatani *et al.* [3] and Obiki *et al.* [4]. The two-channel Omode reflectometer system used in Heliotron J comprises one scannable-frequency and one fixed-frequency reflectometer (both operating in the Ka-band with 26.5 - 40 GHz microwaves) with an IQ detection system that gives amplitude signals $A_{1,2}(t)$ of the electron density fluctuations [5]. Blanco and Estrada [6] discussed that correlation measurements of the amplitude signals $A_{1,2}(t)$ can provide a radial correlation length L_r close to the true turbulence radial correlation length. In this paper, we define L_r as the average effective distance $\Delta r_{eff} = r_2 - r_1$ for which the cross-correlation between $A_1(t)$ and $A_2(t)$ reaches 1/e, where r_1 is held fixed and r_2 is varied by scanning the carrier frequency on a shot-to-shot basis, keeping the plasma parameters and magnetic configuration fixed.

Figure 1 shows the time-evolution of the basic plasma parameters in a low-density ECH discharge in three different bumpiness configurations. The diagnostic timewindow for the correlation measurements is chosen at the peak-density of the discharge, from t = 250 - 260 ms. To filter system noise, a bandpass filter (5 KHz to 200 KHz) is applied to amplitude signals $A_{1,2}(t)$. No MHD modes were observed. Reflectometer measuring data with an overall low signal-to-noise ratio is not taken into account in this experiment.

For a series of low-density ECH discharges ($\bar{n}_e = 1.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) in three different magnetic field bumpiness configurations (i.e. low, medium and high), the cross-correlation between $A_1(t)$ and $A_2(t)$ as a function of Δr_{eff} is depicted in Fig. 2. The used scanning range is 26.32 - 30.48 GHz, equivalent to cut-off densities 0.86×10^{19} -

author's e-mail: n.smith@student.tue.nl



Fig. 1 Time evolution of the average electron density $\bar{n}_e(t)$, ECH and NBI signals (a.u.), electron density fluctuation amplitude signals $A_1(t)$ as measured by the two-channel O-mode reflectometer system and the spectrogram of $A_1(t)$ in an ECH discharge (#76025).



Fig. 2 Cross-correlation between the amplitudes of electron density fluctuations $A_1(t)$ and $A_2(t)$ as a function of the effective distance between the cut-off layers Δr_{eff} as measured in low-density ($\bar{n}_e = 1.0 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) ECH discharges with three different magnetic field bumpiness configurations (i.e. low, medium and high). Exponential fitting functions are used.

 $1.19 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$. The lowest frequency and cut-off density correspond to the fixed-frequency reflectometer. The cut-off radii $\rho_{1,2}$ are calculated using an electron density profile $n_e(\rho)$ obtained with a Thomson scattering diagnostic, with $\rho = r/a$ the normalized minor radius. For the used scanning range of frequencies, $\rho = 0.67 - 0.91$, which is converted to the minor radius r[mm] via $r = \rho a$, with *a*[mm] the position of the last closed magnetic flux surface. The same approach was used in a series of high-density NBI discharges ($\bar{n}_e = 3.0 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$) with High Intensity Gas Puffing (HIGP) and pellet injection in the medium bumpiness configuration, resulting in Fig. 3 (used carrier frequency scanning range: 26.32 - 34.29 GHz, cut-off density 0.86×10^{19} - 1.46×10^{19} m⁻³, $\rho = 0.70$ - 0.88). Due to a steep density profile, the cut-off positions are located at the edge regions in both the ECH and NBI plasmas. The 1/e



Fig. 3 Cross-correlation between the amplitudes of electron density fluctuations $A_1(t)$ and $A_2(t)$ as a function of the effective distance between the cut-off layers Δr_{eff} as measured in a high-density ($\bar{n}_e = 3.0 \times 10^{19} \,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$) NBI discharge with HIGP and pellet injection with medium bumpiness configuration. An exponential fitting function is used.

cross-correlation level is represented by a black horizontal line in both figures.

The experimental results show that L_r is around 1.4 ± 0.7 mm in three different magnetic field bumpiness configurations (i.e. low, medium and high) for the low-density ECH discharges. In high-density NBI discharges with HIGP and pellet injection, L_r is found to be around 1 ± 0.2 mm. For comparison: the ion Larmor radius $\rho_i \approx 2$ -3 mm. As a future outlook, more data should be taken at the range of $\Delta r_{eff} < 2$ mm for a more accurate estimation of L_r . Furthermore, L_r could be explored in improved confinement discharges to check whether a relation between confinement and turbulence exists.

The authors are grateful to the Heliotron J experiment group for their support. This work was carried out with support from the auspices of the Collaboration Program of the Laboratory for Complex Energy Processes, IAE, Kyoto University, the NIFS Collaborative Research Program (NIFS08KAOR010, NFIS10KUHL030), a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, MEXT, and "PLADys" JSPS Core-to-Core Program, A. Advanced Research Networks. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding through FuseNet from the Euratom research and training programme under Grant Agreement No. 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

- [1] F. Fernández-Marina et al., Nucl. Fusion 54, 072001 (2014).
- [2] J. Schirmer *et al.*, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **49**, 1019 (2007).
- [3] M. Wakatani et al., Nucl. Fusion 40, 569 (2000).
- [4] T. Obiki et al., Nucl. Fusion 41, 833 (2001).
- [5] Y. Kondo et al., Plasma Fusion Res. 15, 2402064 (2020).
- [6] E. Blanco and T. Estrada, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 55, 125006 (2013).