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Tomographic reconstruction of the emission profile is a typical ill-posed inversion problem. It becomes troublesome in fusion plasma diagnostics because the possible location/direction of the observation is quite limited. In order to overcome the difficulty, many techniques have been developed. Among them, series expansion methods are based on decomposing the emission profile with orthogonal or nearly orthogonal basis patterns. Since it is possible to ignore the surplus components with higher spatial frequency, this type of method is robust against noise issues. Two topics are discussed in this article. The first issue is the comparison of the basis systems themselves. Conventional one of Fourier-Bessel and a new one of the so-called Laplacian eigen function are compared from the viewpoint of the capability of expressing the patterns that appear in the fusion plasma experiment. The second issue is the application to the tangential viewing imaging system. It is shown that, even from the limited information, tomographic reconstruction can be adequately performed with appropriate use of the regularization, especially with the use of the L1 regularization.
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1. Introduction

Diagnostics using the tomographic reconstruction in fusion plasmas reveals many important physics, such as the mechanism of the MHD instabilities [1]. However, it is not straightforward to reconstruct the local emission profile \( g \) from the line-integrated signals \( f \) since it is a kind of ill-posed problem. With column vectors \( f \) and \( g \), the \( f \) can be expressed as

\[
f = H g + \text{(Noise)},
\]

using the geometrical relationship expressed as the matrix \( H \).

If the least square fitting method is used to solve Eq. 1, the following minimization may give the solution \( g \):

\[
\arg \min_g \{ \sum_i (f_i - h_i \cdot g)^2 \},
\]

where \( h_i \) is the i-th row vector of the geometrical matrix \( H \). If this solution is ill-behaved and unstable to the noise, an additional penalty function is often introduced. When the penalty function is concerned with the total magnitude of the local emission, the function to be minimized becomes Eq. 3.

\[
\arg \min_{g} \{ \sum_i (f_i - h_i \cdot g)^2 + \lambda \sum |g|^\alpha \}.
\]

When \( \alpha = 2 \), the penalty function is the squared Euclid norm of the vector \( g \). This regularization scheme is called the L2 regularization (Ridge regression). When \( \alpha = 1 \), the scheme is called the L1 regularization (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (Lasso) regression). Recently the algorithm for L1 regularization is significantly improved and widely used for the regression problems in various fields research.

On the other hand, in the large-scale fusion devices such as JT-60U, JET, and LHD, the possible location for the detectors are quite restricted. The coverage of the sightlines may be therefore insufficient. That is to say, the reconstruction with lesser information is required in the large scale experiments and in the next generation devices. That means the tomographic reconstruction method should be improved in the scheme of numerical estimation.

The series expansion method using global orthogonal basis patterns was a method proposed in the early stage of fusion research [2]. This method was quite effective for reconstructing the emission profile, especially, of the Tokamak plasmas in circular cross section. Since this method is based on the global patterns, it might be possible to re-
construct the emission profile from the information that is acquired by the measurements of much limited coverage of the entire objective region. However, the application of the series expansion method to the non-circular cross section devices has not been studied intensively. The capability of the reconstruction using the global basis patterns is investigated in this article.

In the series expansion methods, the emission profile \( g \) is expanded by a series of patterns \( b_i \) as

\[
g = \sum_i \beta_i b_i, \quad \text{(4)}
\]

that is, we have a series expansion of \( f \) with basis patterns \( x_i = H b_i \):

\[
f = \sum_i \beta_i x_i, \quad \text{(5)}
\]

The coefficients \( \beta_i \) are determined from the measurement by minimizing the \( \beta = \arg \min \{ \sum \| f - \beta_i x_i \|^2 + \lambda \sum | \beta_i |^2 \} \). With the obtained coefficients \( \beta_i \), the local emission profile \( g \) can be easily composed using Eq. 4.

This article is organized as follows. First, basis pattern calculation that is adaptive to the complicated flux surface is introduced. The capability of the calculated patterns to express the complicated emission profile, which are often observed in the fusion experiments, is investigated in Section 2. Second, this method is applied to the specific tomographic reconstruction problem (tangentially viewing camera system), and the performance of the reconstruction is studied in Section 3.

2. Image Composition Using Fourier-Bessel Series and Laplacian Eigen Function Series

Two types of basis patterns are examined in the capability of composing an emission profile. The objective geometry is of the Heliotron J device having a non-circular poloidal cross section. The Heliotron J is a medium sized helical axis Heliotron with \( L/M = 1/4 \) [3,4]. It is noted that the analysis using Phillip-Tikhonov regularization [5] has been already executed [6].

Figure 1 shows the patterns for expansion. The first type of patterns are produced from the Fourier-Bessel series which is used in the solution to partial differential equations, particularly in cylindrical coordinate systems. The series of patterns are expressed as

\[
\Psi_{lm}(\rho, \theta) = \exp(i m \theta) J_m(\lambda_m \rho), \quad \text{(6)}
\]

Here, \( J_m \) is the \( m \)-th Bessel function of the first kind where \( \lambda_m \) is the \( l \)-th zero location of the \( m \)-th Bessel function. \( \theta \) and \( \rho \) are the coordinate in poloidal direction and the averaged minor radius, respectively. It is noted that this series satisfies Dirichlet’s boundary condition \( \Psi_{lm}(\rho = a, \theta) = 0 \) at the boundary of the plasma. In order to produce the series of patterns fit to the Heliotron J equilibrium shape, \( \rho \) and \( \theta \) in VMEC coordinate [7] are used for.

Eq. 6. The orthogonality of the Fourier-Bessel functions is not completely maintained through this mapping. The Fourier-Bessel series with \( l = 0 \) (Figs. 1 (1a)-(1e)) and \( l = 1 \) (Figs. 1 (2a)-(2e)) and LE patterns for the four largest eigen values (Figs. 1 (3a)-(3d)) and the 30th eigen value (Fig. 1 (3e)).

Another candidate for the basis pattern is the so-called Laplacian eigen function (LE) [8]. The fundamental solution of the Laplacian in two dimension is,

\[
k(r_1, r_2) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |r_1 - r_2|. \quad \text{(7)}
\]

The integral operator \( k \) has the following eigen function expansion [9],

\[
k(r_1, r_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu_j \phi_j(r_1) \overline{\phi_j(r_2)}. \quad \text{(8)}
\]

This series of eigen function is easily calculated when equation 7 is discretized to the matrix form. The advantage of this expansion is that a series of orthogonal patterns can be produced for any shape of the objective region. Thus, this method of pattern production is widely used in the pattern recognition study and the visualization of the fluid dynamics. Figs. 1 (3a)-(3e) show the orthogonal patterns made by this Laplacian eigen function scheme.

Composition of the coefficient of a peaked profile type pattern (Fig. 2 (a)) and a magnetic-island type mode structure (Fig. 2 (b)) has been performed. For FB type patterns, as many as 340 = 20 x (1 + 8 x 2) patterns (\( l = 2 \sim 19 \) and \( m = 0 \sim 8 \)) are used. When the number of patterns is increased, maximum \( m \) number is kept to 8 and \( l \) is increased.
from 2 to 20. For Laplacian eigenfunction, 400 patterns having the largest eigen values are used. Residual error for peaked profile (Fig. 3 (A)) and the magnetic island-like profile (Fig. 3 (B)) are shown as the function of the number of patterns used for decomposition.

Both basis patterns give adequate decomposition though the patterns of the LE are rather unfamiliar types of shapes. In the case of the island type patterns (Fig. 2 (b)), reduction of the residual error is quicker for FB type patterns. However, composition with LE behaves well when a sufficiently large number of the patterns are used. Even when the insufficient number of patterns are used (e.g., Fig. 2 (c)), the composed pattern represents the basic feature of the island-like emission pattern. Better performance of the FB type patterns is caused by the fact that the island like structure are constructed on the equilibrium flux surfaces. A small number of numbers of patterns are therefore required for reconstruction (Fig. 3 (B)). In these decomposition, the coefficients $\beta_i$ estimated with L2-type regularization. The renormalization parameter $\lambda$ was determined by minimizing Akaike’s information criterion (AIC) which was evaluated as $AIC = n \log (\text{residual error}) + 2df$. Here, $n$ is the size of $g$ and the freedom $df$ was evaluated by $df = \sum \lambda_i$ where $\lambda_i$ is the eigen values of the $x^T x$ for $x$ in Eq. 5 [10].

It is concluded that both basis patterns are appropriate for the composition of the emission profiles routinely observed in the fusion experiments. If we know the shape of the flux-surfaces beforehand, the performance of the FB type may be better. However, the merit of the LE where only the boundary shape is required to construct basis patterns, is also quite attractive in the fusion experiments when the detailed equilibrium shape cannot be determined in advance. Although the LE patterns do not satisfy Dirichlet’s boundary condition, it is found that the composition of the profiles having zero emission at the boundary can be free from significant error.

3. Application to the Tangential Viewing System

As an application of the series expansion method, reconstruction of the local emission profile from the tangentially viewing camera image [11] is discussed in this section. Figure 4 shows the arrangement of the virtual diagnostics, where a diagnostics system having two tangentially viewing camera observing a torus plasma having a circular cross section. This arrangement is similar to the situation where two tangentially viewing SX camera sys-
emission profile using (Fig. 5 (c3)). It is also possible to reconstruct the local pattern since the viewing field in the fusion experiment is often limited severely. The residual error becomes larger when the fraction of the image becomes smaller. Residual patterns are installed on the DIII-D tokamak [12, 13]. In this numerical test, FB type patterns having \( n = 0 \) components and \( n = 1 \) components are used as,

\[
\Psi^m_1(\rho, \theta, \phi) = \exp(\text{i}m\theta)J_m(\lambda^m_1\rho) \quad (n = 0),
\]

\[
= \exp(\text{i}m\theta)J_m(\lambda^m_1\rho) \exp(\text{i}\phi) \quad (n = 1).
\]

Here, \( \phi \) is the toroidal angle.

First, reconstruction having \( m = 0 \) type emission profile are tested with one camera. Figure 5 shows assumed emission profile at a poloidal cross section (a) and the synthetic tangential image (b) and the result of the reconstruction (c). As are shown in (a) and (c), the reconstructed pattern is quite similar to the assumed profile when the peaked emission profile (a1) and hollow emission profile (a2) are assumed. For the reconstruction, the Scikit-learn library using the LARS algorithm [14] for L1 regularization is used for solution. The parameter \( J \) in Eq. 3 is optimized by the cross validation method.

In the case in which only the one-half of the tangential image (Fig. 5 (b3)) is used, reconstruction is fairly good (Fig. 5 (c3)). It is also possible to reconstruct the local emission profile using 1/4 of the image of Fig. 5 (b2). It is a great advantage of this type of method using global patterns since the viewing field in the fusion experiment is often limited severely. The residual error becomes larger when the fraction of the image becomes smaller. Residual error of the tangential image, normalized to the full image case is 1.0 (full image), 3.3 (one-half image) and 17.7 (quarter image), respectively.

When the emission profile is superposition of the \( n = 0 \) component and \( n = 1 \) component, which is closer to the real experiments, the performance of this reconstruction method is examined. Figure 6 (b) is the tangential emission image where both the toroidally constant (\( n = 0 \)) peaked emission profile of Fig. 6 (a1) and the magnetic island like structure of the Fig. 6 (a2) (\( m/n = 2/1 \) type) are assumed. Here, \( m \) and \( n \) are the poloidal and toroidal mode number, respectively.

Reconstructed image with one camera data is shown in Fig. 6 (c). The \( n = 0 \) mode and \( n = 1 \) mode is not separated well with this limited information. However, if the data from two camera systems observing at two toroidal locations (Fig. 4) are used, reconstructed images become more reasonable. Reconstruction of the \( n = 0 \) and \( n = 1 \) component are shown in Fig. 6 (d1) and Fig. 6 (d2). Though the low amplitude noises can be seen in Fig. 6 (d2), fundamental characteristics of the \( n = 1 \) mode is adequately reconstructed.

L1 regularization is a key of this kind of reconstruction. Fig. 6 (d3) is a reconstruction using L2 regularization. The noise is much larger especially in the core region of the image. One of the reasons of the merit of the L1 regularization is that less contributed components are neglected and not used. Fig. 6 (e) shows the amplitude of the coefficients for each component. Most of the coefficient for L1 regularization is zero and only the coefficients for \( m = 2 \) patterns are not zero and sufficiently large. Number of the coefficients equals to zero is 142/340 and 40/340 for L1 type and L2 type regularization, respectively. Mode structure with \( m = 2 \) is thereby well enhanced in L1 type regularization results. The reconstruction of limited information is thereby realized.

4. Summary

The performance of the series expansion method for the tomographic reconstruction are investigated. FB type pattern is capable for reconstruction with smaller number of patterns. However, the merit of the LE type that the only boundary information or the shape of the plasma is needed is also quite attractive and the performance of the LE type expansion is sufficient for this type of reconstruction study. The series expansion method applied for the tangentially viewing camera system is also promising from the numerical tests of the reconstruction. If two tangentially viewing camera systems located at two toroidal locations can be used, we can effectively separate \( n = 0 \) component and \( n = 1 \) component using L1 type regularization. Numerical tests using the more realistic magnetic configurations such as the non-circular cross section Tokamak and helical systems are required for the further confirmation of the effectiveness of this method. Three dimensional eigen function

\footnote{https://scikit-learn.org/}
using Saito’s method may also be a promising candidate for the reconstruction of the tangentially viewing images judging from the results of this article.

Acknowledgments

One of the authors (S. O.) is grateful for the discussion with Prof. Furukawa and Prof. Yokoyama. This work is partly supported by the by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research 26249144. It is also supported by Japan / U. S. Cooperation in Fusion Research and Development.