Numerical Investigation of Electromagnetic Wave Propagation Phenomena by Three-Dimensional Meshless Time-Domain Method*)
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The finite-difference time-domain method (FDTDM) is commonly applied to time dependent electromagnetic wave propagation simulations. In the FDTDM, the nodes of electric and magnetic fields are located based on an orthogonal mesh called the Yee-lattice. However, using this method, it is difficult to express a complex shaped domain. The radial point interpolation method (RPIM) is a meshless method that can be applied to electromagnetic wave propagation simulations. The meshless time-domain method (MTDM) based on RPIM can treat complex shaped domains easily. In previous studies, the computational accuracy and numerical stability of the three-dimensional (3-D) MTDM has not been clear. The present study numerically investigates the influence of weight functions on the computational accuracy and numerical stability of the 3-D MTDM. We perform numerical simulations, the results of which show that the multi-quadratic, reciprocal multi-quadratic and quadratic spline functions should be employed for the weight functions.
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1. Introduction

The finite-difference time-domain method (FDTDM) is widely used for numerical simulations of electromagnetic wave propagation phenomena. The governing equations of the FDTDM are discretized by using the leapfrog and central difference methods [1]. The nodes of the electric and magnetic fields are located based on an orthogonal mesh called the Yee-lattice. FDTDM has a great advantage with respect to discretization, but cannot easily express a complex shaped domain.

Recently, various meshless methods such as the radial point interpolation method (RPIM) have been proposed [2, 3]. The meshless time-domain method (MTDM) based on RPIM can be applied to electromagnetic wave propagation simulations [4–6]. In the MTDM, the governing equations are discretized using the leapfrog method, a shape function and a partial derivative of the shape function. The nodes of the electric and magnetic fields can be located without requiring a mesh. Therefore, it is easy to express a complex shaped domain. However, the influence of weight functions on the computational accuracy and numerical stability has not been clarified in the three-dimensional (3-D) MTDM in previous studies. The purpose of the present study is to numerically investigate the influence of weight functions on the computational accuracy and numerical stability of the 3-D MTDM.

2. Meshless Time-Domain Method

In the MTDM, a shape function and a partial derivative of the shape function are derived, based on the RPIM, which is a meshless method. In the RPIM, nodes are scattered at arbitrary positions in the analysis domain Ω, as shown in Fig. 1. An approximation function $u^* (x)$ and a partial derivative of the approximation function $\partial u^* (x)$ are expanded as follows using a shape function $\phi (x)$, a partial derivative of the shape function $\partial \phi (x)$ and a known vector $u$:

$$u^* (x) = (\phi (x), u) = \sum_i \phi (x) u_i, \quad (1)$$

$$\partial u^* (x) = (\partial \phi (x), u) = \sum_i \partial \phi (x) u_i, \quad (2)$$

where $x$ is the position vector and $(a, b)$ denotes an inner product of the vectors $a$ and $b$. $\partial$ means $\partial/\partial x$, $\partial/\partial y$ and $\partial/\partial z$ in the 3-D case. The domain of influence is defined by a parameter $R$, called the “support radius”. Nodes in the domain of influence (the open circles within the circle of radius $R$ in Fig. 1) contribute to the value of the approximation function at the point $x$. A shape function and a partial derivative of the shape function are generated by solving a system of linear equations as:
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The polynomial basis function (PBF). The coefficients depend on the type of RBF and the support radius.
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weight function (EWF)" and "the quadratic spline function (QSF)". c is called the "support coe-

is fixed at 1.0 in the present study. RBF of all types satisfy equation (9).

\[ b(r) = \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^2 + 1.0 \] \] (6)

\[ b(r) = \frac{e^{-r/c}}{1 - e^{-r/R}} \] \] (7)

\[ b(r) = 1.0 - 6.0 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^2 + 8.0 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^3 - 3.0 \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^4 \] \] (8)

\[ b(r) = 0 : \quad r \geq R. \] \] (9)

The shape function based on the RPIM satisfies the delta function property as:

\[ \phi(x = x_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j; \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases} \] \] (10)

From this, an approximation function can be described in a simplified form:

\[ u^*(x_i) = (\phi(x_i), u) = u_i. \] \] (11)

This property is very important for the discretization and approximation of the governing equations.

The Maxwell equations are written as:

\[ \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = -\sigma E + \nabla \times H, \] \] (12)

\[ \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = -\nabla \times E, \] \] (13)

where \( E \) and \( H \) are the electric and magnetic fields, \( e, \mu \) and \( \sigma \) are the electric permittivity, magnetic permeability and electrical conductivity, respectively. Equations (12) and (13) can be discretized with respect to time and space by using the leapfrog method, a shape function and a partial derivative of the shape function, giving:

\[ E_{x,i}^{n+1} = C_E E_{x,i}^n \]

\[ + C_{EH} \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial \phi_{x,j}^H}{\partial y} H_{z,i,j}^n + \sum_k \frac{\partial \phi_{x,k}^H}{\partial z} H_{y,i,k}^n \right) \] (14)

\[ E_{y,i}^{n+1} = C_E E_{y,i}^n \]

\[ + C_{EH} \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial \phi_{y,j}^H}{\partial x} H_{z,i,j}^n + \sum_k \frac{\partial \phi_{y,k}^H}{\partial z} H_{x,i,k}^n \right), \] (15)

\[ E_{z,i}^{n+1} = C_E E_{z,i}^n \]

\[ + C_{EH} \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial \phi_{z,j}^H}{\partial x} H_{y,i,j}^n + \sum_k \frac{\partial \phi_{z,k}^H}{\partial y} H_{x,i,k}^n \right), \] (16)

\[ H_{x,i}^{n+1} = H_{x,i}^{n-1} \]

\[ + \frac{\Delta t}{\mu} \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial \phi_{x,j}^E}{\partial y} E_{y,i,j}^n - \sum_k \frac{\partial \phi_{x,k}^E}{\partial z} E_{z,i,k}^n \right) \] (17)

\[ H_{y,i}^{n+1} = H_{y,i}^{n-1} \]

\[ + \frac{\Delta t}{\mu} \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial \phi_{y,j}^E}{\partial x} E_{x,i,j}^n - \sum_k \frac{\partial \phi_{y,k}^E}{\partial z} E_{z,i,k}^n \right), \] (18)

\[ H_{z,i}^{n+1} = H_{z,i}^{n-1} \]

\[ + \frac{\Delta t}{\mu} \left( \sum_j \frac{\partial \phi_{z,j}^E}{\partial x} E_{x,i,j}^n - \sum_k \frac{\partial \phi_{z,k}^E}{\partial y} E_{y,i,k}^n \right), \] (19)

\[ C_E = \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{2 e} \right) / \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{2 e} \right), \] (20)

\[ C_{EH} = \left( \frac{\Delta t}{e} \right) / \left( 1 + \frac{\sigma \Delta t}{2 e} \right), \] (21)

where \( n \) is the time step. \( E_x, E_y, E_z, H_x, H_y \) and \( H_z \) are the \( x-, y- \) and \( z \)-components of the electric and magnetic fields, and \( \partial \phi^H \) and \( \partial \phi^E \) are the partial derivatives of the shape functions for the magnetic and electric fields, respectively. A vacuum region \( (\sigma = 0) \) is employed in the
analysis domain in the numerical evaluation of the present study and thus equations (20) and (21) become
\[ C_E = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad C_{EH} = \Delta t / \varepsilon. \]
A time dependent solution is given by solving equations (14)–(21) while updating the time step \( n \).

3. Numerical Evaluation

Previous studies have investigated the influence of the weight functions or node arrangement on the computational accuracy and numerical stability in two-dimensional MTDM [9]. In the present study, in order to investigate the influence of the weight functions on the computational accuracy and numerical stability of the 3-D MTDM, simulations are performed using a straight waveguide as shown in Fig. 2 (a). For simplicity, the nodes of the electric and magnetic fields are arranged based on a staggered mesh as shown in Fig. 2 (b). A perfect electric conductor is employed as the wall of the waveguide so that the perpendicular component of the electric field is set to zero at the wall as the Dirichlet boundary condition. The source of the electromagnetic wave is input at the edge of the waveguide. The other physical parameters are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 Physical parameters for the waveguide and input wave.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of waveguide</th>
<th>10 [m] × 1 [m] × 1 [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance between nodes</td>
<td>5 [cm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source wave form</td>
<td>Sine wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of source</td>
<td>6.0 \times 10^8 [Hz]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Poynting vector [10] is measured on the surfaces at \( \Gamma_{\text{in}} \) and \( \Gamma_{\text{out}} \), and the transmission rate \( T_R \) is defined as:

\[ T_R = \frac{\langle P \rangle_{\Gamma_{\text{in}}}}{\langle P \rangle_{\Gamma_{\text{in}}}} \]

where \( T \) and \( P \) are the period of the source wave and Poynting vector, and \( \int \int dS \, dt \) denote the surface integration and the average of time, respectively. In the present study, it is considered that the computational accuracy is good if \( T_R \) is near to 1.0.

The transmission rates \( T_R \) for the support radius \( R \) and RBF are summarized in Table 2. If the EWF is employed for the RBF, the calculations failed for all \( R \) and hence the results for EWF are not listed in Table 2. It can be seen that the MQ, RMQ or QSF can be employed for the RBF. Some values of \( T_R \) are over 1.0, representing reflections from the wall. In addition, we found that numerical unevenness is caused by variation of the number of nodes in the domain of influence. In order to investigate the influence of the number of nodes in the domain of influence on the computational accuracy, we measured the transmission rates \( T_R \) for \( N_{\text{min}} \), where \( N_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum number of nodes in the domain of influence.

Fig. 3 shows the transmission rates \( T_R \) for \( N_{\text{min}} \). The calculations failed if \( N_{\text{min}} \) is less than 10. Specifically, if \( N_{\text{min}} \) is less than 10, the generation process of partial derivatives of the shape functions failed. This contrasts with our expectation that calculation should be possible if \( N \) is more than 4. In addition, \( N_{\text{min}} \) should be set to be more than 16 for stable computation. Furthermore, the computational accuracy is not improved necessarily if \( N_{\text{min}} \) increases. The reasons for these results are not currently clear and a detailed mathematical analysis is necessary.

A stability condition for the time step is necessary because the Maxwell equations are hyperbolic partial differential equations and the leapfrog method is an explicit method. The stability condition for the time step in MTDM is written as:

\[ \Delta t < \frac{\min |x_i - x_j|}{C}, \]

where \( C \) is the velocity of light and \( x_i \) and \( x_j \) are the positions of the nodes of the electric or magnetic field [6]. In order to investigate the influence of the time step on the numerical stability, we measured the transmission rates \( T_R \)
Fig. 4  Transmission rates $T_R$ for $C_t$ plotted using MQ, RMQ and QSF.

for $\Delta t$. For the evaluation, $\Delta t$ is set as:

$$\Delta t = C_t \min |x_i - x_j| / C,$$

(25)

where $C_t$ is the stability coefficient. The transmission rate $T_R$ for $C_t$ was measured using the MQ, RMQ and QSF for $N_{\text{min}} = 16$, and is plotted in Fig. 4. We can see from this result that $T_R$ using each RBF shows similar behavior. $C_t$ should be set to be less than 0.8, lower than the theoretical value. The computational accuracy does not improve even if $C_t$ becomes small. The reason for this result is not currently clear and further detailed mathematical analysis is required.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, the influence of the weight functions on the computational accuracy and numerical stability of the 3-D MTDM is investigated numerically. The conclusions obtained in the present study are summarized as follows.

- The multi-quadratic, reciprocal multi-quadratic or quadratic spline functions should be employed for the radial basis function.
- At least 10 nodes in the domain of influence are necessary for a stable calculation.
- The support radius $R$ should be decided in consideration of the number of nodes in the domain of influence.
- The computational accuracy does not necessarily improve if the minimum number of nodes in the domain of influence increases and the time step becomes small.
- The time step $\Delta t$ should be set to be lower than the theoretical value.

Some difficult problems for stable computation using MTDM are still unclear, such as, the relation between the number of nodes in the domain of influence and the computational accuracy, and the relation between the time step and numerical stability. To clarify these problems, a detailed mathematical analysis is necessary, and is planned as a future study.