Development of Integrated Transport Analysis Suite for LHD Plasmas Towards Transport Model Validation and Increased Predictability
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In this study, the integrated transport analysis suite, TASK3D-a, was developed to enhance the physics understanding and accurate discussion of the Large Helical Device (LHD) experiment toward facilitating transport model validation. Steady-state and dynamic (transient) transport analyses of NBI (neutral-beam-injection)-heated LHD plasmas have been greatly facilitated by this suite. This will increase the predictability of the transport properties of LHD plasmas toward reactor-relevant regimes and reactor-scale plasmas.
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1. Introduction

The LHD plasma parameter regimes, such as those of density, temperature and long-pulse operation, have been successfully extended [1]. The energy confinement property has been analyzed mainly on physics-topics basis, such as high-electron [2], high-ion temperature [3], and medium-to-high density plasmas [4] by comparing the radial profiles (one-dimensional, 1D) of the experimental and neoclassical energy fluxes. On the other hand, the unified energy confinement scaling law for helical plasmas was deduced as ISS95 [5] and its extension ISS04 [6], which consider the global (zero-dimensional, 0D) energy confinement time. Extending the physics understanding of the energy confinement beyond a scaling law (0D) is mandatory for increasing the predictability for further enhancement of plasma performance in the present experiment and designing a fusion-reactor scenario such as FFHR-d1 [7]. For this purpose, an integrated transport analysis suite, TASK3D-a (analysis version), was developed to accelerate the energy balance analysis involving the radial (1D) profiles measured in the LHD. This paper describes the calculation procedure of TASK3D-a, and its various features.

2. Calculation Procedure of TASK3D-a

The calculation procedure employed in TASK3D-a (“a01” as the first version) is schematically summarized in Fig. 1. It consists of four parts; LHD data interface, threedimensional (3D) equilibrium, heating (only NBI at this moment), and energy (and momentum) balance analysis.

2.1 LHD data interface part

The LHD data interface is based on the real-time coordinate mapping system, TSMAP [8], in which the radial coordinate is transformed from the real coordinate (major radius, R) to the effective minor radius \( r_{\text{eff}} \) by searching...
for the “best-fit” equilibrium in a pre-calculated VMEC [9] database. Here, the “best-fit” is meant to satisfy the in-out symmetry (with respect to the magnetic axis position at approximately the peak of the electron temperature, $T_e$) of the measured $T_e$ profile. On the basis of the coordinate mapping, $T_e$ and density profiles are provided as functions of $r_{eff}$ and ion temperature ($T_i$) profile as well when it is available (through “cxsmap”).

### 2.2 3D equilibrium part

The 3D equilibrium component re-evaluates the VMEC equilibrium (fixed-boundary calculation) for all timings of strong Thomson lasers (for $T_e$ measurement) by implementing parameters for the pressure and current profiles of a “best-fit” TSMAP at each time slice. Note the following remarks on the VMEC re-evaluation:

- Last closed flux surface ($R_{mn}$ and $Z_{mn}$): This is taken from the VMEC-DB corresponding to $a_{DB}$ defined by the “best-fit” TSMAP. Here, $a_{DB}$ is the minor radius in which 99% of the total stored energy is confined. Because $a_{DB}$ is defined on the basis of experimentally observed profiles, it is not always the same as the minor radius in the VMEC-DB (say, $a_{DB}$), which is based on given pressure and current profiles.
- Pressure profile: $p_0$ (the peak value) and $p_1$ (the peaking factor) are taken from the “best-fit” TSMAP. These two values provide the functional form of pressure. If $a_{DB}$ differs from $a_{DB}$, the flux limit $\psi = (r/a_{DB})^2$ is used as an approximation instead of $\psi = (r/a_{DB})^2$.
- Current profile: The measured value of the total current is provided. The profile is assumed to be proportional to $1-\psi^2$.
- Total toroidal magnetic flux: This is provided by $\psi_{phiedge0}^* a_{DB}^2$, where $\psi_{phiedge0}$ is the toroidal flux for a vacuum case in the VMEC-DB. If $\psi_{phiedge0}$ and $a_{DB}$ do not exist in the VMEC-DB, interpolation is performed using the available data.

In Fig. 2, an example is shown of the comparison between the “best-fit” TSMAP ($a_{DB} \sim 0.62$ m) and VMEC-DB ($a_{DB} \sim 0.63$ m) for a particular shot-timing. The value “$p_{TSMAP}$” is given as the input of VMEC2000. The approximation, $\psi = (r/a_{DB})^2 - \psi = (r/a_{DB})^2$, has little impact on the mapping between $r_{eff}$ (TSMAP), $<r>$ (VMEC calc.) and $R$. The module, Boozer, maps the VMEC coordinates to the Boozer coordinates.

Because the “best-fit” TSMAP is defined by only satisfying “$T_e$ peak to the magnetic axis” and “in-out symmetry”, it does not necessarily reproduce all the equilibrium properties well. Thus, this approach should be considered to be only one of the practical approaches for providing equilibrium for experimental analysis. The “wout” file produced by the VMEC can be replaced by that based on another equilibrium reconstruction approach to observe or investigate the impact of equilibrium on the analyses.

### 2.3 Heating part

The heating component currently includes only the NBI module. The “fit3d” has been developed to evaluate the radial profiles of the NBI absorbed power, beam pressure, beam source and induced momentum [10]. The calculation consists of the following three parts.

- **HFREYA**: calculations of the birth profile (from the generation of the beam particles in the beam source to ionization in the plasma)
- **MCNBI**: birth-ions are followed (shorter than the energy slowing-down (SD) time, but longer than the orbit effects such as prompt loss can be reflected)
- **steady-state solution of the Fokker-Planck equation**: is obtained without considering orbit effects.

Note that $T_i$ is not always measured for selected timings. Thus, $T_i$ is assumed for standard use of TASK3D-a01, because the effect of $T_i$ on the deposition properties is known to be rather small. The results are stored in the “eg” file format on the Kaiseki Data Server for the LHD experiment [11].

The “conv_fit3d” has been developed [12] to evaluate the NBI absorbed power and induced momentum by considering the beam SD effect, on the basis of the results obtained by “fit3d” (which does not include the SD effect). The calculation method employed in “conv_fit3d” is explained here. It is assumed that ions with injection energy, $E_{inj}$, are produced with a typical time interval $\Delta t = 100$ ms (corresponding to the interval of the selected timings in the “fit3d” calculations, which is currently the interval between timings with strong Thomson laser intensity for fine $T_e$ profile measurement) during NBI injection. This time interval is comparable with the typical SD time of injected beam ions (estimated as a hundred of ms, and also as lately seen in Fig. 4) in plasmas with density of low-$10^{19}$ m$^{-3}$ and $T_e$ of a few keV. Of course, the time interval should be smaller to increase the accuracy, depending on the availability of a fine $T_e$ profile measurement. These ions are followed until their energy becomes zero.

The heating power is evaluated for contributions from ions with the energy above $T_i$ (equal to $T_e$). Then, the SD process for ions with $E_{beam}$ at each timing is evaluated. Below,
The heating power within one time-step is calculated as the sum of $\Delta E_{i,j} = E_{i,j} - E_{i+1,j+1}$ by weighting the ionized beam current $I_{beam}^{i,j}$. This evaluation process is shown schematically in Fig. 3.

A particular example, shot 110599, is shown in Fig. 4, in which a carbon pellet was injected at $t \sim 4.55$ s. The #5 beam-line was injected from $t = 3.3$ s, and beam-lines #1, #2 and #3 were injected from $t = 4.0$ s, followed by #4 from $t = 4.6$ s (modulated for charge-exchange spectroscopic measurement). The figure shows that the gradual increase (decay) in the absorbed power after injection is turned-on (turned-off) is appropriately evaluated. Note that the “conv_fit3d” calculation continues until 1.0 s after each beam is turned-off by default. The abrupt increase in the absorbed power is also clearly evaluated, which is of great importance for grasping the heating efficiency increase of a carbon pellet injection shown in a recent ion-temperature parameter expansion experiment in the LHD [13].

Note that time-dependent GNET (GNET-TD [14]) calculations have become available, although they are time-consuming. A benchmarking comparison of the SD process evaluation in the approach combining “fit3d” and “conv_fit3d” described here and that of GNET-TD will be performed in the near future.

### 2.4 Energy balance analysis part

The energy balance analysis part consists of two modules, “TRsnap” [15] (for steady-state analysis) and “dytrans” [16] (for so-called dynamic transport). “TRsnap” has been modified on the basis of TASK/TR (a module of TASK [17]). The power input from NBI (from either “fit3d” (steady state NBI input) or “conv_fit3d” (SD effect considered) and the collisional energy transfer are considered in the energy balance analysis. Other terms such as radiation loss and charge-exchange loss, which require the TASK3D-a extension for edge-plasma physics, have been ignored. Furthermore, “dytrans” evaluates the energy flows due to the temporal variation in the plasma profiles in addition to the steady-state energy flow. Thus, the temporal behavior of the energy confinement properties of transient plasmas can be analyzed, for identifying when confinement improvement occurs as an example. One such example is shown in Fig. 5, where the temporal change in the density-normalized energy flux of ion ($Q_{i}/n_{i}$) is plotted as a function of the $T_{i}$ gradient close to the mid-radius ($r_{eff}/\omega_{pi} \sim 0.3$) of a particular shot (110599). The slope connecting each data point and the origin, $(Q_{i}/n_{i})/(dT_{i}/dr_{eff})$, corresponds to the “steady-state” diffusivity of the ion energy. This figure shows that the $T_{i}$ gradient increases (more than three times) from pellet injection (at $\sim 4.60$ s) with a smaller (less than twice) increase in $Q_{i}/n_{i}$ until 4.76 s at which the central $T_{i}$ reaches its maximum value during the shot. This trajectory indicates the evolution of confinement improvement. The $T_{i}$ gradient subsequently decreases with little change in $Q_{i}/n_{i}$. In the context of this paper, all the points plotted in Fig. 5 can be prepared.
by a single execution of TASK3D-a. In this way, analyses of energy confinement properties of LHD plasmas can be significantly accelerated by using TASK3D-a, which will be of great help for deepening the physics understanding.

3. Flexibility and Coming Extension of TASK3D-a

TASK3D-a employs a flexible structure that allows by module/file replacement, improvement, and inclusion of new modules to be performed relatively easily. One example is the replacement of the “wout” file produced by the VMEC. Since “wout” is a standard VMEC output file, the equilibrium obtained by other approaches such as STELLOPT equilibrium reconstruction [18] can be implemented in the “wout” file in Fig. 1 instead of that obtained from TSMAP in order to investigate the impact of equilibrium specification on energy balance analysis.

In the coming extension (from “a01” to “a02”), and then the following version of TASK3D-a, the ECH and ICH modules (LHDGauss [19] and TASK/WM [17], respectively) will be implemented so that the overall heating scenario in the LHD can be systematically examined. The multi-ion-species effect will also be implemented using the effective ion charge $Z_{eff}$ [20] and carbon density profile as a function of $r_{99}$ whenever available. The carbon density profile is of significant interest for analyzing impurity-hole phenomena [21] in a combination of SD-considered NBI absorbed power. Edge-plasma characteristics such as neutral particle penetration and charge exchange with plasma particles are also part of the coming extensions in combination with appropriate numerical codes and databases. The inclusion of neoclassical energy and particle flux calculations will make it possible to accelerate the comparison between the so-called experimental and neoclassical energy fluxes to elucidate “anomalous” contribution to the energy flux systematically in a wide range of LHD plasmas.

4. Conclusion and Future Prospects

The development of the integrated transport analysis suite, TASK3D-a, for LHD experiments has progressed. It is now possible to conduct energy balance analyses (steady-state/dynamic) for NBI-heated LHD plasmas in a much faster time scale than before. The temporal change in the confinement state has been relatively easily analyzed using this suite to provide valuable information such as when the confinement improvement occurs. The coming extensions will increase the functionality of the suite, and are expected to facilitate transport model validation against the LHD experiment, thus increasing the predictive capability toward higher-performance LHD plasmas and reactor-scale plasmas.

Finally it should be mentioned that TASK3D-a is open to collaborators and an English manual has been prepared [22].
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